1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	WESTERN DIVISION
4	THE HONORABLE DALE S. FISCHER, JUDGE PRESIDING
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
7	Plaintiff, )
8	vs. ) NO. CR 22-175-DSF
9	DAVID JOSEPH BUNEVACZ,
10	Defendant. )
11	<b>)</b>
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL
15	
16	Los Angeles, California
17	Monday, November 21, 2022, 9:12 A.M.
18	
19	Sentencing Hearing
20	
21	PAT CUNEO CSR 1600, CRR-CM
22	Official Reporter First Street Courthouse
23	350 West First Street Room 4311
24	Los Angeles, CA 90012 213-894-1782
25	patcuneo1600@gmail.com www.patcuneo.com

2 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: E. MARTIN ESTRADA UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 3 ALEXANDER B. SCHWAB ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 4 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street 5 Los Angeles, California 90012 FOR THE DEFENDANT: 6 CUAUHTOMAC ORTEGA FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 7 BY: JAMES S. THREATT, DEPUTY AND DAVID WASSERMAN, DEPUTY 321 East Second Street 8 Los Angeles, California 90012 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

```
LOS ANGELES, CA.; MONDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 2022; 9:12 A.M.
 1
 2
                                 -000-
                           Please remain seated and come to
               THE CLERK:
 3
             This United States District Court is again in
 4
 5
     session.
               Case No. CR 22-175-DSF, United States of America
 6
 7
     v. David Joseph Bunevacz.
               Please state your appearances.
 8
               MR. SCHWAB: Good morning, Your Honor.
 9
     Alexander Schwab on behalf of the United States.
10
               MR. THREATT: Good morning, Your Honor.
11
12
     James Threatt on behalf of David Bunevacz who is present in
     custody. I'm also joined at counsel table by
13
14
     David Wasserman.
15
               THE COURT: Good morning.
16
               MR. WASSERMAN:
                               Good morning.
17
               THE COURT: Good morning.
               All right. Counsel, you can stay there or move to
18
19
     the lectern, whichever you prefer.
               This is the time set for sentencing. I've read
20
     and considered the presentence report and the addendum and
21
22
     the objections and position papers of the parties.
               I have also read and considered the numerous
23
24
     victim impact letters, the letters in support of the
25
     defendant, and defendant's own letter.
```

```
Mr. Threatt, have you had enough time to review
 1
 2
     those documents with your client?
               MR. THREATT: I have, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Did you explain their contents to him?
 4
 5
               MR. THREATT: I did.
 6
               THE COURT: Do you have any concerns about his
 7
     ability to understand then?
               MR. THREATT: I do not.
 8
               THE COURT: Mr. -- how do you pronounce your name,
 9
     sir?
10
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Bunevacz.
12
               THE COURT: Mr. Bunevacz, did you get those
     documents?
13
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Did you read them?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Do you need any more time to read
17
     them?
18
19
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Did your attorneys explain them to
21
     you?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they did, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Did you understand them?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: Is there anything you want to contest
```

```
1
     or change, Mr. Threatt, in the presentence report other than
 2
     what was submitted?
                             No, Your Honor.
 3
               MR. THREATT:
               THE COURT: Is there anything you'd like to
 4
 5
     present in mitigation?
               MR. THREATT: Yes, Your Honor, and I would like to
 6
 7
     speak to the objection that we made to the presentence
 8
     report.
               THE COURT: All right.
 9
               MR. THREATT: Your Honor, I think the main legal
10
     dispute here with respect to the guidelines calculation is
11
12
     whether an additional two points should be added for
     substantial financial hardship to five or more victims; and,
13
14
     Your Honor, I'll go to the lectern if that's okay.
               THE COURT: Certainly.
15
16
                    (Pause in the proceedings.)
17
               MR. THREATT: Your Honor, we acknowledge the harm
     both financial and emotional that the victims have endured.
18
19
     However, whether they have suffered substantial financial
     hardship is a narrow legal question.
20
               The guidelines already account for the aggregate
21
     loss in this case and the number of victims. To add these
22
     additional two points more is required than for individual
23
24
     victims have lost large sums of money.
25
               The guideline, the commentary, the case law, all
```

make clear that the Court must consider the amount lost in light of each particular victim's individual circumstances.

The main Ninth Circuit case on this point is a useful example. In that case, *United States v. George*, the defendant had targeted already distressed homeowners by falsely claiming to operate a loan modification program.

As a result, the vast majority of the victims lost their homes and the Ninth Circuit upheld the application of the enhancements on those facts.

Here, however, we're dealing with a very different kind of scheme where the investors who were solicited were not people already on the verge of financial collapse or ruin.

With that in mind, the statements provided by the government generally lack sufficient specific facts to justify application of this enhancement.

At most, two victims may qualify as having suffered substantial financial hardship because they allege they provided their entire life savings to Mr. Bunevacz.

Putting those aside -- and I'm happy to address specific letters if the Court has questions -- many of the remaining statements understandably discuss the amount lost and resulting emotional and reputational harm.

But they only offer vague statements about the personal financial consequences like it was, quote, terrible

to lose their investments.

Some of the few concrete examples that are provided, while unfortunate, are not the kind of significant hardship that this enhancement requires. That, in many respects, is the main takeaway of George; that the financial hardship itself must be very significant to that particular victim based on their individual circumstances.

And many of the remaining victims who provided the largest sums of money were corporations, and I haven't seen any allegation that the corporations became insolvent or had to file for bankruptcy.

There is one individual who claims that their company entered bankruptcy. However, they can't be considered for purposes of this enhancement. I'm talking about victim G.H. because they are not a victim of the scheme alleged in the Indictment in this case.

Again, the amount lost, the number of victims are already accounted for under the guidelines. The magnitude of this fraud are already taken into account and Mr. Bunevacz is accordingly being severely punished already for the amount of loss and the number of victims.

More is required to add these additional two points to his offense level which given where he falls on the sentencing table would add over two years to the sentence recommended by the guidelines.

Unless the Court has any questions, I'm prepared to move on from the legal objection.

THE COURT: You can move on. Thank you.

MR. THREATT: Thank you, Your Honor.

Turning to the 3553 factors, as we note in our papers, the one-level variance is appropriate in this case, specifically a sentence of 87 months for all the reasons we note in our papers particularly because, as the government acknowledges in its sentencing position, the guidelines here already account for all the various aggravating factors in this case.

Mr. Bunevacz swiftly entered a guilty plea upon being charged and appointed counsel and took responsibility for the full scope of the fraud as reflected in perhaps the most detailed factual basis I've ever seen in a plea agreement.

He's also not contesting the restitution amount which the government similarly acknowledges in its papers is a reason to impose a low-end guideline sentence at the very least.

Furthermore, Mr. Bunevacz has been diagnosed with advanced heart failure. He hasn't seen a cardiologist in the last eight months he's been in custody. We attached some medical records to Exhibit D of our sentencing position.

As I said, he hasn't seen a cardiologist for quite some time even though before his arrest he was seeing one every few weeks for checkups because his heart is increasingly getting worse with time.

As a result of that, any time in custody is especially punitive for Mr. Bunevacz and, frankly, it could potentially be deadly if he doesn't receive the kind of medical care that he needs.

And finally, Your Honor, I know we discussed this in our papers but it bears repeating. The guidelines here are so high that a sentence at or slightly below the low-end of the guidelines is a very substantial sentence especially for somebody who is a nonviolent offender and who's never been incarcerated before.

So any sentence that the Court is considering in light of the guidelines will be by far the harshest sentence that Mr. Bunevacz has ever received and that further weighs of a sentence at or below the low-end of the guidelines, specifically 87 months.

And with that, we're prepared to submit unless the Court has any questions.

THE COURT: Thank you. I don't.

If anybody has something so important they need to be looking at their phone for, you should be out in the hallway looking at your phone. Otherwise, please put the

```
1
     phones away.
 2
               Thank you.
               Mr. Bunevacz, is there anything you would like to
 3
 4
     say?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No, Your Honor.
                           From the government?
 6
               THE COURT:
 7
               MR. SCHWAB: If I might address the guidelines,
     Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           Certainly.
 9
10
               MR. SCHWAB: May I take the lectern?
               THE COURT:
                           Certainly.
11
12
                    (Pause in the proceedings.)
               MR. SCHWAB: Your Honor, with respect to
13
14
     substantial financial hardship to five or more victims,
     George, which Mr. Threatt just cited, also stands for the
15
     proposition that the Court can draw reasonable inferences
16
     given the total number of victims and the statements for the
17
     sample that it has before it.
18
19
               In this case, though, there are at least five
     victims who've been explicitly identified even if victim
20
     G.H. is not included. So I'll note that in addition to all
21
     of the victims that are cited in the PSR, there was included
22
     with the sentencing position of the government the
23
24
     additional victim impact statement of victim C.W. who
25
     mentioned that his father has to delay his retirement
```

because of his life savings.

That's explicitly one of the factors that's looked at in determining substantial financial hardship.

And then among the additional victim impact statements submitted by the government this past week after its sentencing position, there were -- and these were submitted together -- the victim impact statement of victim S.M. who specifically stated that he lost all of my life savings and the victim impact statement of victim Z.A. who stated, quote, the \$402,000 was my life savings.

So, again, by Mr. Threatt's criteria, these would satisfy the fact that there are at least five individuals who suffered substantial financial hardship as a result of the offense.

The government is not claiming that each and every one of the victim impact statements outlines that but merely that we've met that threshold.

I do want to address, Your Honor, to the extent you have any specific questions regarding the obstruction enhancement the government is moving for as well as its motion for an upward departure as to the defendant's criminal history.

I agree with my friend Mr. Threatt that we are not asking for an upward adjustment to the defendant's criminal history in virtue of the fact that he has this prior

conviction that does not technically count toward his guidelines.

What the government is instead arguing is that the ordinary plus two points that an offender would receive for committing an offense or committing the instant offense while on supervision, whether that be probation or pretrial detention or -- excuse me -- whether it be probation, supervised release, or while serving a prison sentence; that that is what occurred here and that isn't otherwise precluded from being considered from an upward departure under the guidelines, and it's exactly the type of factor that warrants such an upward departure based on the stated purposes of the criminal history score under the guidelines which is that we need to consider the risk of recidivism here.

And in this instance this defendant has demonstrated that even while serving a criminal justice sentence, he continued to violate. He continued to commit the very same crime that the underlying state securities violation was relevant conduct for.

Similarly, with respect to the obstruction of justice enhancement, again, the government doesn't contest of the PSR's conclusion that this is ultimately relevant conduct, the underlying offense.

The language in the guideline is if the defendant

willfully obstructed or impeded or attempted to obstruct or impede the administration of justice with respect to the investigation, prosecution, or sentencing of the instant offense of conviction, that the offense level should be raised by two levels.

Now, Mr. Threatt points out that this isn't the instant offense. Rather, it's relevant conduct to the instant offense. I would disagree with that, Your Honor.

I believe it's actually -- given that this is a scheme that's being alleged, rather than simply like an individual act such as a bank robbery or an offense along those lines, and the scheme includes this period of time, in fact, explicitly references this state conviction in the Indictment and in the factual basis.

That fact demonstrates that it is relevant conduct but it's also explicitly part of the instant offense as well and so it would be appropriate for the Court to consider this as an obstruction enhancement.

As the Court knows, the government is recommending the low end and that is the low end of what the Court concludes the appropriate guideline range is here and the government stands by that.

And to the extent that the Court calculates the guidelines differently from how the government has submitted they ought to be calculated, the government's recommendation

is the low end of what the Court has actually concluded in this case.

I will note however, this is not a case that is a good candidate for a downward variance. I suspect that you will hear from victims today and you've already seen numerous letters outlining the destruction that this defendant has wrought on people's lives.

And while I do acknowledge the mitigation and virtually the fact that the defendant did, to his credit, swiftly accept responsibility and he's not contesting the restitution amount, the sad fact of the matter is it's highly unlikely that this defendant is actually ever going to be able to pay back that restitution.

And while Mr. Threatt compares the guidelines in this case to sort of punitive nature one would expect to see from a violent offense, that's very much what you see in terms of the actual suffering people had here.

Much like someone who's robbed at gunpoint. These are individuals who have trauma from this event, who have described the psychological pain and also the wake of just the economic destruction that's hit them.

Obviously, not everyone has suffered to the same degree but many have suffered a great deal here, and this is not a case that warrants a sentence below the guidelines.

Unless the Court has any questions, I have nothing

1 further. 2 THE COURT: I don't. Is there any victim here who wishes to make a statement? 3 MR. THREATT: Your Honor, could I respond briefly 4 5 before we let the victims speak? 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 MR. THREATT: Thank you. Your Honor, just briefly to touch on the 8 government's objections to the PSR, we agree with the 9 addendum to the PSR that was issued by the United States 10 11 Probation Department that rejects both of these arguments. 12 With respect to obstruction, the relevant guideline provision is clear that the alleged obstructive 13 14 conduct must have had an impact on the investigation, prosecution, or sentencing of the instant offense of 15 conviction. 16 17 And despite the allegations about the misconduct 18 with respect to the state case, there has been no -- no 19 inkling that I have seen that any of Mr. Bunevacz's conduct has obstructed the federal investigation prosecution or the 20 sentencing on this case which -- the hearing we're having 21 22 right now so I think the probation department was right to reject that argument. 23 24 With respect to the upwards departure for criminal

history category, probation again acknowledged that there is

25

no comparable situation in the commentary to the relevant guidelines provision that would apply here and, furthermore, the alleged misconduct is already accounted for by the guidelines range because the loss from the state case and subsequent to the state case is already factored in the offense level calculation.

Furthermore, with respect to restitution, we note in our papers that there is a large restitution order in this case. Mr. Bunevacz is not contesting it. That is an additional form of punishment that realistically be hanging over his head for guite some time.

His alleged inability to make full restitution payments is not something that should be considered at sentencing. It's certainly not something that should be considered to justify a longer term of incarceration.

Just briefly on the substantial financial hardship point, Your Honor, the government noted some language from United States v. George about the ability of courts to make a reasonable estimate of the substantial financial hardship to five or more victims.

In that case I think that made sense and this is a very important point because the entire class of victims were specifically targeted because they were already in financial distress.

So it was more -- it was more an issue in that

case where the Court could reasonably look at the number of victims, know that they were specifically targeted because they were on the verge of foreclosure and not delve into quite as detailed an analysis with respect to each individual victim's financial situation.

In this case given -- given the different -- the nature of the scheme here, we do require additional specifics as to the financial impact on each victim in order to justify this further two points which has a profound impact on Mr. Bunevacz's guidelines range.

Mr. Schwab noted two of the victims who allegedly lost an entire life savings. Those are the two I mentioned before that I think would qualify under the standard.

But with respect to the others, including the ones in the PSR, there are just conclusory statements about potential delaying of retirement without any specifics given.

Even if delaying requirement is an example provided in the guidelines, there's still a question of degree. There's obviously a difference from postponing retirement for one year or postponing for 30 years.

And we simply don't have enough information that's certainly specific to conclude that at least five victims have suffered substantial financial hardship as that is used in the guidelines.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, we don't dispute that the victims here have endured financial and emotional harm. But this is a very specific legal question, and I think United States v. George is quite clear. And as interpretive gloss to the quidelines in the commentary, that the financial hardship itself must be quite significant and I just don't think there is a basis here to apply that enhancement. Unless the Court has any questions, I'm prepared to submit on that basis. THE COURT: I don't. Thank you. Mr. Schwab, from the victims? MR. SCHWAB: Your Honor, I do recognize there are a number of victims here and I am not sure if anyone does want to address the Court but if so I see at least one. THE COURT: All right, sir. One of you step up and just give us your initials and I will keep asking if there's anyone else until there's no one else who stands so don't worry. We'll get to everyone. THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good morning. VICTIM S.S.: My initials are S.S. and thank you

I represent two victims and I'm going to read my statement if that's okay.

for allowing me to address the Court this morning.

```
THE COURT: You say you represent two victims.
 1
 2
     Are you a victim?
               VICTIM S.S.: I am personally a victim.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               VICTIM S.S.: And my company.
               THE COURT: All right; fine. When we say
 6
 7
     "represent" here, we're generally referring to lawyers so I
 8
     just wanted to make sure.
 9
               VICTIM S.S.: I understand. Thank you.
10
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
               VICTIM S.S.: David Bunevacz's fraud was
11
12
     deliberate, large scale, and he profoundly and adversely
     affected the lives of many victims including myself, my
13
14
     wife, and one of my companies and its hundreds of
     shareholders.
15
16
               I'd like to address the Court today on my personal
17
     experience and loss suffered at the hands of David and his
18
     daughter Hayca. I am the president and CEO and a director
19
     of a public company listed in Canada.
               My company and I were introduced to David and
20
     Hayca Bunevacz in August-September of 2018. After learning
21
22
     about the opportunity to invest in CB Holding Group Corp.,
     my company and I conducted due diligence which included
23
24
     questions posed to David Bunevacz and his attorney
25
     representing their company.
```

We reviewed purportedly audited financial statements which later proved to be fraudulent, a legal opinion from the company's lawyer concerning assets offered as security for loans.

The assets offered as security turned out to be worthless, a fact which should have been obvious given the knowledge of the lawyer providing the opinion, and purchase order details with a vape pen distributor who subsequently were also proven to be fraudulent.

We reviewed the Olympic ticket legal matter and in raising the issue, were provided by David a fraudulent legal decision that said David Bunevacz had settled this legal matter without liability when, in fact, I ultimately learned that he had actually lost the legal proceeding and was sentenced to one year in jail and probation.

I joined another investor to visit other cannabis businesses, dispensaries, made a site visit to Los Angeles where we were told by David Bunevacz that he had a significant interest in these businesses.

David used this evidence as part of his fraud to provide credibility for his story. We later came to find out that he, in fact, no longer had an interest in the business as he had defaulted on his obligations which brought an end to any position he may have held in those businesses.

After completing the above due diligence and in reliance on information provided by David Bunevacz, I recommended to my Board of Directors that the public company advance US \$3.5 million to CB Holding by way of a convertible debt instrument.

The Board reviewed the due diligence legal opinion and other information including my recommendation to make the loan and determined to proceed. The loan was made in two tranches, one for 2 million in November 2018 and the second for 1.5 million in December 2018.

The funds loaned from the public company were to fulfill purchase orders for vape pens that were to be delivered by year end 2018.

In addition to the above due diligence, my company and I were provided by David Bunevacz with purchase orders for vape pens, CBD oil, THC oil, all of which eventually proved to be fraudulent.

David Bunevacz told me and other investors that the \$3.5 million loan would complete two vape pen orders that were already presold to distributors thereby guaranteeing a profit on delivery. We relied on this fraud in making this large loan.

In January 2019, David Bunevacz began making excuses for delays in receiving vape pens from China and fulfilling the orders for the sale a hundred percent of the

vape pens under the fictitious purchase orders.

Some of the excuses given for the non-sale of vape pens included delays in shipping, manufacturing delays, delays in battery shipments.

And in March 2019 I was told by David Bunevacz that all shipments of vape pen components had been received, CBD and THC cannabis oil was purchased, and that what was needed to complete the delivery and sale to distributors was an additional \$1 million to fill the empty vape pens. A profit was certain and the distributors buying the completed vape pens stood ready to pay on delivery as agreed.

When David told me that we were within 30 days of closing out the CBD and THC vape pen sales, I discussed with my board the need for an additional \$1 million convertible loan. The company felt that the \$3.5 million loan was going to be the company's limit on this investment.

I told the board that I would make this loan personally, short-term, 30 days, in order to protect my company's \$3.5 million investment.

I then had a discussion with my wife and we went to our bank and borrowed \$1 million which is \$1.35 million Canadian dollars on our home line of credit.

Since our home is in my wife's name, she needed to agree and to increase our line of credit, she needed to sign off. Our line of credit remains open and my wife and I

still owed the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce 1,350,000 plus interest for three and a half years from the personal loan made to David Bunevacz, his company, to complete the funding for these fictitious vape pen purchase orders.

My company that loaned \$3.5 million missed out on other business opportunities because it loaned most of its available treasury to David Bunevacz and his companies under fraudulent circumstances.

The company now sits as a shell company with debts of 1,700,000 Canadian of which 855,000 is owed to me. I have been lending the company money to maintain its public listing and to pay operating costs such as salaries, rent, fees to auditors and lawyers and other related public company expenses.

I would like to tell the Court that my life over the past four years has been adversely affected by David Bunevacz's fraud.

There has been considerable stress on my marriage caused by me recommending to my wife that we make this personal loan to David Bunevacz.

As for my relationship with my board and the shareholders in my public company that gave David Bunevacz \$3,500,000 on my recommendation, I have had to live with significant reputational harm which has also negatively and severely limited my ability to raise needed capital for the

```
public company.
 1
 2
               That's my statement, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
 4
               VICTIM S.S.: Okay.
 5
               THE COURT: Who else would like to speak?
 6
               VICTIM G.H.: Thank you, Your Honor, for giving me
 7
     this chance for my day in court.
               THE COURT: Your initials, sir.
 8
               VICTIM G.H.: Gene Hammet.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               VICTIM G.H.: I thought my day in court would be
11
12
                 I met Bunevacz in 2008 in China. We became
               We did business. Everything he said, I trusted
13
     friends.
14
     him. He called me his brother. He prayed with me.
     I got into the biggest deal of my life with him and that was
15
16
     in 2010 when everything fell apart.
               I thought I would get my day in court on that
17
     ordeal. But when we talked to the district attorneys, we
18
19
     talked to different people, they explained to me that
     because of the statute of limitations would be three years,
20
     that it was not enough to put a case against someone in a
21
22
     complex scam or scheme that Mr. Bunevacz portrayed on me and
23
     so I never got my day in court that day.
24
               I filed civilly. We fought it out for years.
25
     ended up doing bankruptcy.
                                 The harm that he did to me was
```

much more than money.

I was a successful businessman. I had run my business for nine years. I had done the right thing over and over and over until I ran into Mr. Bunevacz when I trusted him.

And it hurts me to say this, but I just lost trust in myself. I struggled with my confidence. I struggled with everything to be able to put my life back together when he took \$3 million from me and my business.

I did stick it out with my wife. We're still together after so many years of hardship. It was more than a decade.

One thing I wanted to bring up today that not just with me as a victim but this is so much more than money. I know you see that.

What's not been clear today. Maybe it's in the documents. But what did he do with this money? How did he, you know, handle himself when he had millions of dollars?

Well, I had to watch it on social media. Him flying private. Him taking big trips, big parties with his family. The two most outrageous things I saw in this was for some reason he spent \$80,000 on a mattress.

For someone who grew up sort of middle class and trying to make things work, that didn't even make sense to me. How could he spend \$80,000 on a mattress? It was my

money.

The other thing was gambling. No one has really mentioned the gambling and what he's done with his money but I've looked at the details and tried to understand this but I just don't.

But you sit down to play a hand of blackjack and it's ten seconds. And all the notes from the casinos came back said he was playing \$5,000 a hand.

Those things stood out to me. It's about the money but what happened with all this money? What happened with this? And we go way back then because I know I'm not a traditional victim in today's, you know, scheme of 35 million but there's a pattern that he set forth over 12 years ago. That pattern continued as he pillaged people who would fall preys to his charm. They would trust him.

And I want you to understand that it's so much more than money, the impact he makes on families. The impact he made on my family. The biggest part is we struggled, me and my wife, to put things together and I had a young son who was almost three at the time this happened.

And so there were literally months or years where we don't even know how we took care of things, how we took care of him and give him the attention he needed. We tried our best but it's so much more than money.

The second thing I want to bring up, Your Honor,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is -- is it really likely for someone like this to make Is some time in jail going to give him time to think? My quess is knowing and so many conversations I had with someone like him, what he will think about is not what he could do to pay back people. It's why he got caught. Why did he get caught? That's what he will think about. How could he have done it differently? How could he have really changed things so he didn't get caught. That's what he'll be thinking about in the sentencing. Will he really be reformed? I don't know. You know more about the system than I do but I don't think it reforms people. And the final thing today, I thought about this, I was trying to piece it together and I put it behind me for the most part. But this has brought up a lot of feelings and a lot of pain. And I saw you took the bench 19 years ago. George W. Bush appointed you to be here. I don't know why he did it but I think it comes down to one thing. Will you take care of the people? This is not about punishing him. I don't care. But I've thought for years how could I stop him from doing this to others? I don't know. This is my chance, though.

you see that it's more than money. I hope you see that

```
reform is unlikely. I hope you will do what your job and
 1
 2
     your appointment has set you to do and that's to take care
     of the people. Protect us.
 3
 4
               Thank you.
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               Anyone else?
 6
 7
               MR. SCHWAB: Your Honor, I just want to note with
     respect to the prior victim, that he is named as the victim
 8
     in the aggravated identity theft count and is referenced in
 9
     the factual basis.
10
11
               THE COURT: I recall that. Thank you.
               VICTIM T.D.: Hello, Your Honor. My initials or
12
     name, it doesn't matter. I really don't care.
13
14
               THE COURT: Whatever you're comfortable with.
               VICTIM T.D.: My name is Tom Danford. My wife
15
16
     Meredith and my son is Oliver who's 12 and my daughter is
17
     Charlotte who's 10. We lived in Stevenson Ranch,
18
     California, in the Santa Clarita Valley from 2012 to 2019.
19
               Meredith and I met David Bunevacz and his wife
     Jessica at the Paseo Club in Valencia in the fall of 2014.
20
     Over the next five years, we became very close family
21
22
     friends. David and I hung out three to four days per week
23
     practically every week. Whether we working out, taking a
24
     spin class, pilates classes, or just grabbing lunch.
25
               We became fast friends while our wives spent
```

numerous hours of time together as well as doing many of the same things. We grew to love the Bunevacz family. My kids loved Mr. Dave and Ms. Jessica. My daughter Charlotte thought their youngest daughter Brianna was a princess.

David and Jessica knew my family intimately. Our families took many trips together to places such as the Bahamas, Chicago, New York. Celebrated holidays and birthdays, anniversaries, and numerous other special occasions together whether it be in Mammoth, Palm Springs, or Santa Barbara.

We trusted them. We supported them. From attending their daughter's horse shows to flying to New York to visit Jessica and Brianna while they were filming a TV show. We believed in them and thought they believed in us.

About a year or so into our friendship, Dave asked if I was interested in providing a short-term investment, a bridge loan if you will, for some e-cigarette deals.

At the time I felt I got to know him pretty well, saw appearance of successful entrepreneur in an emerging industry. So after some due diligence, I agreed to move forward.

Unbeknownst to me at the time, I had dipped my foot into the Bunevacz family Ponzi scheme pool, as that small investment turned into two additional slightly larger bridge loans, all the while he was setting me up for a

larger ask.

David and Jessica knew intimate details of my wife and my past, our past. How Meredith and I met beginning our journey in recovery and sobriety almost 20 years ago.

David and Jessica knew how I lost everything almost 20 years ago. My consequences. How I was homeless except for about 50 bucks and bus pass. But more importantly, they knew how Meredith and I had overcome those challenges and built our lives back together both spiritually and financially.

They knew that our recovery and our family was the most important thing in our lives next to our faith. They preyed on that knowledge and they manipulated us from the beginning of the relationship while pretending to care about us and the well-being of our children.

Speaking of faith, what is laughable now -- and the gentleman earlier talked about praying with him -- they would organize prayer groups at their house and Jessica would post them on social media. It was just another ruse to keep people believing that they were this morally centered family that truly cared about others and had a deep faith in Christ.

In short, David and Jessica stole our life savings. Our children's future and ability to trust so that they can continue their corrupt little lie that they were

living.

I see that David's falling on the sword for the entire family but they are all guilty of being involved in this criminal scam. Jessica would brag about how Dave would buy her expensive jewelry because he couldn't get a life insurance policy due to his heart condition.

In addition to the massive closet of designer clothes and shoes, somewhere Jessica has got a half a million-dollar ring that the victims in this courtroom today have all chipped in on.

I realize that I didn't get the worst of the Ponzi scheme compared to the other victims in this room but it's all relative. The money in my savings that it supposedly was for an e-cigarette company or was a legitimate marijuana production facility that had contracts with the city that was supposed to go public with some Canadian company. The story kept changing and it was all fraud and his entire family was in on it.

I guess to the Court, Your Honor, you know, the only way, similar to what the gentleman said before is, you know, David and Jessica didn't know how to survive. They don't know how to survive in this world besides stealing from people and ruining their lives.

And I just implore you give David the gift of the maximum sentence, no appeal, no reduction for good behavior.

3

4

5

6

8

9

25

```
1
     Give him a time to sit on a time out and think about the
     destruction that he caused everybody in this room because if
     he's let out and given the opportunity of freedom, he'll do
     it again. He knows no other way.
               Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
               Is there anyone else who would like to be heard?
               VICTIM C.C.: Hi, Your Honor. I'm C.C., another
              My partner had known David for quite some time and
     really had trusted him.
10
               My father at the time was on his last month of his
11
12
     life or last few months so he was using a tincture. David
     came to find this out. Completely set up the entire
13
     scenario of how he owned one-third of this company.
14
               Consequently, he -- I am so upset by this.
15
16
               THE COURT: Take your time.
17
               VICTIM C.C.: -- supplied my father with, you
18
     know, the medicine or the tincture; and that's how I
19
     invested because I thought he was such a compassionate
     amazing person. My partner, my good friend, all went on my
20
     word and we all lost everything.
21
22
               And we were some of the, you know, fortunate that
23
     are going to be fine but I can tell you that it was a
24
     complete setup and a scam and it's hard to even talk about.
               So I think that mercy is something for someone
```

with remorse and in a situation like that, it shows no remorse because it's ruthless and conniving and manipulative and I think character is everything in a person.

That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Is there anyone else who would like to be heard?

VICTIM I.C.: I.C. Curt's business partner.

Worked for him. Known David as a patient for more than ten years before working with Curt. Sorry. Hands are shaking. I didn't think I would be speaking today.

THE COURT: That's all right.

VICTIM I.C.: I think he'll do it again if he has an opportunity. There's no question. All these years I've known him, I cared not to ask him about how he was driving fancy cars living the lifestyle he did.

I think he trusted me as a dentist enough to refer a lot of really great people to the practice in Valencia which I still practice him. So I trusted him back and just recently, he gave me this great business opportunity and of course I trusted him almost as a friend and he knew my situation.

I love to take care of my parents. They live in my house and I love them to do that. And he -- I remember him saying: Oh, you could do whatever you want for your parents. Buy them a house. And I thought I deserved it, I

guess, because I was such a good friend to him.

And the level of coldheartedness and I think someone described it as sociopathic, I've never -- I don't know if I've ever met one in person but I think I really did and it's really unfortunate for me that I -- I mean, I'm still practicing so I don't want to talk about money and things like that.

But if I had the opportunity to warn others, I certainly would have. And so I just have to say I think he's a different level of crook. I think he's not just a businessman that just couldn't make money or do good deals.

I think this is a whole different level of just not brazenness, but just -- I think he has no capacity to understand the hurt that he's put on all his victims.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Is there anybody else who would like to speak?

VICTIM B.S.: Hi. My name is Bill Sewell and I'm
here on behalf of my wife Lisa, my daughter Fiona who was
very close friends with Brianna at the barn where they first
met.

As you've been hearing the patterns, we also were very impressed with not only the flashiness of both David in his Lamborghini and Jessica in her Bentley driving up there, you know, every day. But they were very present, very

charming, very easy to spend time with.

We spent many hours like everyone else did or multiple days on the road at these horse shows, and it took David some time before he offered us the opportunity to invest in this company as well.

We didn't quite understand where the money came from but as -- I mean, he's very articulate. He's very good at -- as you could hear, gaining trust and could speak to his subject matter very well so it seemed like a very legitimate possibility.

Umm, we vetted it. I even brought a referral to him to do work for him and realized, you know, after the fact, that she never got paid.

It was May 9<sup>th</sup>, 2011, when I had my last conversation with David and it was -- I realized that there was -- done research finally to see why he had not been returning paperwork or getting back in touch with us for legal filings that we needed to do on this investment.

And finally I called and said: Hey, you know, we need our money back. We need out and, oh, by the way, I'm, you know, concerned with what I'd learned from your behavior at the barn, you know.

This is -- this was a place where we all got together and then I realized that he had managed to convince every single family in the barn to invest in his company.

Like I said, very persuasive.

And the problem that I had had was the distress that he had caused to the most important person collectively in all of our lives which was the trainer at the barn that kind of gave me an instant sense of actually what malevolence was going on below the surface.

And when I told him: I need the money back. Oh, yeah. He said: No problem. I'll pay you back. You're going to be just fine.

And then I felt that that was going to be -things might work out. And then he called an hour later and
then he lit into me about the most important person in his
life. How dare I get involved with him and this woman who
everyone loved, who's one of the most ethical people in the
business.

And that was the moment I knew I was a mark and I just listened and just the sinking feeling that I had, that I never realized that I could be played but I had just been played.

And there was an unbelievable amount of like malevolence to state how he said that was the most important person in his life after he had caused possibly a hundred thousand dollars of financial harm to her and her vendors.

And I knew this because I -- his schemes worked really well in the dark when nobody else knows what's going

on. So after that conversation, my job was to now check in with everybody else that he had affected.

And then realized that in each and every consideration -- like following phone call, he would tell everyone else that -- people that he talked to were okay. That, oh, they're all settled. He always had a reason, a story, everything was okay and each of us were talking to each other and realizing that he was lying after we all knew what was going on. Lying consistently and threateningly, menacingly and to powerful lawyers, to powerful people.

That portion -- it actually caused us a bunch of concern which was not only is this malevolent evil behavior but what else is he capable of because we didn't know that that was a possibility. We didn't know he was capable of that. And didn't know. Didn't know but was actually quite fearful of what else could be coming down the road.

It also really helped us believe -- and you hear it from everyone else before -- is that this has been practiced behavior over a long period of time to the people he most cares about or that we felt that he most cared about.

So I don't know how people change over time but I would really ask you to consider protecting the rest of us as much as you possibly can from these schemes going on.

His wife and daughter, I love the daughter still

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
but they are living their best lives. You know, we never
got paid back. We're watching them continue to be
princesses in the social media realm and it's -- and, you
know, posts of giving financial advice. Just unbelievably
frustrating to see as we all know what the true quality of
their personalities and their ethics are so please, please
protect us.
         Thank you.
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you.
         Is there anyone else who would like to speak?
                       (No response.)
         THE COURT: All right.
         The government argues that the defendant should
receive a two-level enhancement for obstruction of justice.
The defendant was charged in 2012 with unlawful sale of
securities, grand theft personal property, using false
statements in the sale of a security, and using false
statements.
```

As part of a plea deal, most of the charges were dropped in exchange for a plea to unlawful sale of securities.

In 2017, he was sentenced on that charge to three years' probation with 360 days in jail and 300 hours of community service but the custody provision was temporarily stayed.

He was ordered to pay restitution of \$185,000 to one victim and \$88,000 to another. Once that restitution was paid, the custody time was permanently stayed.

The parties and the probation officer agree that the 2012 case is relevant conduct here because it was for similar fraudulent conduct in the midst of the current ongoing fraudulent scheme.

The government argues defendant paid the restitution that kept him out of jail with funds obtained through defrauding investors, part of the scheme to which he has pleaded guilty in this case.

Defendant admitted in his plea agreement that his scheme to defraud began no later that in or about 2010 and continued at least through about April 2022.

The probation officer notes that defendant had no lawful form of income during the time that he was on probation for his state court conviction and was making restitution payments. Defendant does not dispute this.

The government contends that because the state court conviction is considered part of the instant offense, defendant should receive an enhancement under Guideline Section 3C1.1.

This section states that if:

1. The defendant willfully obstructed or impeded the administration of justice with respect to the

investigation, prosecution, or sentencing of the instant offense of conviction.

And 2. The obstructive conduct related to, A, the defendant's offense of conviction and any relevant conduct or, B, a closely related offense, the offense level should be increased by two levels.

Although there is some doubt and if the commission had contemplated conduct as brazen and egregious as this, it almost certainly would have included what the defendant did here, the Court concludes that the first requirement is not met and declines to impose the enhancement.

The government next contends that the criminal history category, one, substantially underrepresents the seriousness of defendant's criminal history or the likelihood that the defendant will commit other crimes.

The government specifies that it is not asking the Court to depart upward based on the conviction in defendant's state court case because this may be prohibited by the guidelines.

Though it appears the Ninth Circuit has not yet addressed this issue, the Court will accept the government's suggestion and not impose it on that basis.

The government contends, however, that the guidelines do not limit the Court's ability to impose an upward departure for committing the instant offense while

under a criminal justice sentence where the sentence arose from conduct that is part of the offense.

The probation officer states that defendant's prior criminal history does not fit squarely into any of the categories described in the guidelines for a departure.

That may be true but fitting squarely does not seem to be a requirement.

The Court agrees with the government that criminal history category I substantially underrepresents the seriousness of defendant's criminal history and the likelihood that the defendant will commit other crimes.

The issue here is very similar to Guideline Section 4A1.3(a)(2)(D), which suggests an upward departure is appropriate when defendant was pending trial or sentencing on another charge at the time of the offense.

As I said, the defendant brazenly and egregiously continued the same or very similar conduct throughout his term of probation while allegedly making honest efforts to repay his victims and rehabilitate himself.

He not only continued his fraudulent conduct, he escalated it. This is a very good indication that he is likely to commit other crimes and that category I does not reflect the seriousness of his criminal history.

In addition as the government points out, defendant admitted to violating the terms of his probation

in that case even aside from continuing to commit the same offense.

It is clear that defendant's record is considerably more serious than that of other defendants in the same category even without considering any alleged conduct that may have occurred in the Philippines.

The Court finds defendant is in criminal history category II.

The defendant contends that the presentence report incorrectly applies a two-level enhancement for a substantial financial hardship to five or more victims.

He notes the government bears the burden of proof but it is easily met here. Application of Note 4(f) to Section 2B1.1(b)(2)(B), provides a nonexclusive list of factors to consider in determining substantial financial hardship and the government has clearly met its burden of proof by supplying detailed victim statements as well as the information in the presentence report.

Defendant's fraudulent promises impacted victims' ability to meet their own financial obligations while he was living a lavish lifestyle. He took money that was meant to fund retirement, children's college education and siblings schools. He encouraged people to invest their entire life savings and they did.

In addition to the victims listed in the PSR, at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

least victims JPV, CAO, and FCC, suffered substantial financial hardship and by naming these victims the Court does not mean to suggest that the others who have provided information did not also suffer substantial financial harbor. The enhancement is proper. I find the report to be accurate and correct in all material respects with the exception of the increase in criminal history category. I adopt the report and the calculation of the advisory sentencing guidelines. The advisory quidelines are the starting point and the initial benchmark in the Court's analysis. consulting and taking into account the current edition of the guidelines. The total offense level is 32. The criminal history category is II. The guideline range for custody is 135 to 168 months. The range for supervised release is one to three years. The special assessment to the Crime Victims Fund is \$200.

Does the government have a revised recommendation based on the Court's calculated guidelines range?

MR. SCHWAB: It does, Your Honor. Based on the Court's calculations, the government recommends 135 months.

THE COURT: Thank you.

In making an individualized determination based on

the facts, I'm also considering the factors described in 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a) especially but not exclusively the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and provide just punishment; to afford adequate deterrence for criminal conduct and to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant.

I'm considering the kinds of sentence available and the kinds of sentence and sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of defendant as well as the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who've been convicted of similar offenses.

The Court also considers the need to provide restitution to victims of the offense. Though considering the number of victims and the enormity of the fraud, it's unrealistic to think that any of the victims will obtain anywhere near their loss regardless of the Court's sentence.

Defendant, of course, has promised in connection with a past sentencing to repay his victims. Did he do then by defrauding additional people. I don't believe he has any more intention to repay these victims honestly or otherwise than he did at that time.

He submitted probably the least convincing letter

the Court has ever received from a defendant. I am not in the least persuaded that Mr. Bunevacz regrets anything other than that he was caught.

If he had any remorse about his conduct and the devastation he was causing, he would have stopped immediately after being charged in the state court case. He did the opposite.

Mr. Bunevacz's history and characteristics and the nature and circumstances of the offense are extremely aggravating in part because he used fraudulently obtained funds to keep him out of prison in that state court case.

The Court concludes that the defendant's obviously willful fraud on the state court that caused the state court to stay the sentence of nearly one year in jail is an extremely aggravating circumstance that compels a significant upward variance in his sentence.

The Court is not persuaded by the probation officer's comment that it does not appear that defendant actually provided materially false information in his prior case because he may not have been affirmatively dishonest about the source of the funds that he used to pay the restitution.

Deliberate material omissions also constitute fraud under both state and federal law and it goes without saying that defendant's failure to tell the judge that he

was using funds obtained fraudulently through a continuation of the conduct for which the judge was sentencing him was material and obstructed justice in the defendant's sentencing.

It was a fraud on the state court; and having been there, I am one hundred percent confident that the state court judge would reach the same conclusion.

Though variances do not necessarily have to be stated in terms of levels, this conduct is so closely related to that described in Sentencing Guideline Section 3C1.1 that the Court concludes that a two-level upward variance is appropriate for this reason alone.

The nature and circumstances of the offense are also extremely aggravating. Defendant was engaged in this fraudulent conduct for more than a decade. Not even a criminal conviction and the threat of jail caused him to consider becoming a law-abiding citizen.

The various means that he used to defraud investors were extremely sophisticated even defeating the due diligence performed by investors who were sophisticated and knowledgeable themselves.

He forged signatures, formed documents, and used means far beyond that necessary to earn the enhancement for sophisticated means. In addition, he attempted to entourage one investor to engage in insurance fraud with him.

In addition to that, as the government points out, the purpose of his fraud was not for some desperate need to help a family member deal with unforeseen medical issues or to save a foundering buys, not that that would have been an excuse.

His purpose was to provide himself and his family with an extremely extravagant lifestyle which they flaunted on social media. This conduct only served to convince some investors that he was as successful in his business as he claimed to be.

He preyed on individuals who believed he was their friend. He accepted their life savings and money from mortgaging homes or depleting retirement accounts.

In at least one case, he appears to have reloaded a victim by returning for an additional one million dollars over what the individual had convinced his company to invest.

The Court also agrees with the government that the seriousness of defendant's conduct cannot be captured in mere dollars and cents.

The letters and the statements of the victims are heartbreaking. Although some of his victims were business entities, others were individuals who knew him or who were referred to him by others who knew him. Some believed they were close friends and socialized with him and his family.

There is an enhancement for substantial financial harm which, as I said, is entirely appropriate but apparently there is none for the related severe emotional and even physical harm that's caused by predators such as this defendant.

In many cases that harm is worse than the financial harm. As happened here victims became so embarrassed they cannot face family and friends. They lose their ability to trust themselves or others. They become severely depressed and may even suffer physical consequences. They suffer irreparable harm to their reputation and often have relationships with family and friends destroyed or nearly so.

I recognize that some of these factors have been accounted for in the enhancements and I am not including them in my determination for an additional upward variance.

But to the extent the guidelines do not fully capture the seriousness and devastation of financial fraud through those enhancements, the Court has a policy disagreement with the guidelines and finds these factors, as I said to the extent not previously considered in calculating the range, justify an upward variance and a sentence at the high end of the range.

The defense asks for a downward variance of two levels if the Court accepts the probation officer's and

government's analysis of substantial financial hardship on the grounds that it's duplicative of the amount of loss and number of victims factors.

The Court disagrees and denies the request. The amount of loss applied is actually intended loss. Actual loss need not occur, although a very high amount of actual loss was suffered here.

But many of the direct victims did not suffer substantial financial hardship within the meaning of the enhancement or at least did not advise the Court of that and indeed there were well over one hundred investors who were defrauded.

And defendant's offense level did not include an enhancement for the number of victims even though the parties stipulated to it because only the greatest enhancement is applied pursuant to 2B1.1(b)(2) so there's no duplication.

The defense, as usual, does an excellent job in its sentencing position including comparing this type of crime to drug crimes or crimes of violence.

But a comparison of the total offense level to the base level of those other crimes is not necessarily the appropriate comparison and the Court does not agree that the comparison of the crimes themselves necessarily suggest that financial crimes should generally result in lesser

sentences.

Some types of financial crimes, including this one, fully justify the 20-year maximum sentence established by Congress and this case is a good example of why that is so.

Defendant's early acceptance of responsibility was certainly wise as the evidence against him appears overwhelming and the only way to get a reduction in the guidelines range is to enter into a plea agreement. The three-level departure is sufficient to address that factor.

The Court also does not find defendant's medical condition justifies a lower sentence. Once defendant is sentenced, he will be in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons rather than that of the Marshal Service.

The Bureau of Prisons has medical facilities that are well able to address concerns such as those the defendant apparently suffers from.

As the defense points out, the guidelines are only one of a number of factors the Court must consider. For the reasons just indicated, the Court finds that the guideline sentence as calculated by the probation officer even with the criminal history category of II and that now recommended by the government is not sufficient.

In addition, it is not sufficient to afford adequate deterrence for criminal conduct or to protect the

public from further crimes of the defendant.

As the government points out, lesser sentences do little to dissuade people, as lacking in good character or integrity as the defendant, from committing this type of crime.

The Eleventh Circuit noted that economic crimes are prime candidates for general deterrence and, unfortunately, supervised release does not assuage the Court's concerns about recidivism.

Financial fraud is precisely the type of crime that is difficult for probation officers to watch for and discover, and defendant has proved himself well able to come up with unique and sophisticated ways to defraud people.

There is a very significant risk that he will continue to do so when released. I'm fairly convinced that he will at least try to do so.

The government agreed to recommend a low-end sentence so long as the guidelines range was 32 and the Court did not depart downward in criminal history.

The government has complied with its obligation.

I adopt the government's factual recitation and much of its reasoning but I do not adopt the government's recommendation or it conclusion from that reasoning that a low-end guideline sentence is appropriate.

I find that a four-level upward variance to Level

36 and a statutory maximum sentence of 240 months would be entirely reasonable for all of the reasons just stated and would be within a reasonable range based on the 3553(a) factors.

However, that sentence would provide no benefit to the defendant for his acceptance of responsibility. He did accept responsibility quickly. This saved the government time and money. But, more importantly, it allowed this matter to be resolved early so that victims can try to move on with their lives and avoid the time, stress, and aggravation that is inherent in pretrial and trial proceedings which they probably don't even recognize at this point and, therefore, I conclude that a somewhat lower sentence is appropriate.

Though as I said, variances are not required to be considered in terms of levels even with the criminal history category of I before a variance to Level 36 would result in a guidelines range with a midrange of 210 months.

If the government's position as to the obstruction of justice enhancement were correct, then I would not have applied the two-level variance for obstruction but I would still apply the two-level upward variance for the other reasons stated. The level would remain at 36 and I would still impose a mid-range sentence of 210 months.

I will now state the sentence but counsel will

```
have a final chance to make legal objections before sentence
 1
 2
     is imposed.
               Does either counsel know of any reason why
 3
     sentence should not now be imposed?
 4
               (Defense counsel conferred.)
 5
               MR. SCHWAB: Your Honor, the government knows of
 6
 7
     no such reason. I'll simply note, first off, the government
     maintains its recommendation of 135 months and, secondly, I
 8
    believe if the Court were to vary upward to the equivalent
 9
     of offense level 36 with the upward departure to criminal
10
11
     history -- to category II, the defendant's range would be
12
     210 to 240 months.
               THE COURT: Thank you. Yes, I misspoke.
13
               MR. THREATT: Your Honor, would you like me to
14
     note our objections now or after sentence is imposed?
15
16
               THE COURT: You can go ahead and note them now.
               MR. THREATT: Your Honor, just for the record
17
18
     we're reiterating our objection particularly to the
19
     substantial financial hardship and the variances imposed by
     the Court and that the Court sentence is substantively
20
     unreasonable particularly with those enhancements factored
21
22
     in.
               THE COURT: All right.
23
                                       Thank you.
24
               I find that the following sentence is reasonable
25
     and is sufficient but is no greater than necessary to comply
```

with the purposes stated in 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a).

It is ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$200 which is due immediately.

Any unpaid balance shall be due during the period of imprisonment at the rate of not less than \$25 per quarter and pursuant to the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program.

It is ordered that the defendant shall pay restitution in the total amount of \$35,267,851.98 to victims as set forth in a separate victim list prepared by the probation office which this Court adopts and which reflects the Court's determination of the amount of restitution due to each victim.

The victim list, which shall be forwarded by probation to the Fiscal Section of the clerk's office shall remain confidential to protect the privacy of the victims.

If defendant makes a partial payment, each payee shall receive approximately proportional payments.

Restitution shall be due during the period of imprisonment at the rate of not less than \$25 per quarter and pursuant to the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program.

If any amount of the restitution remains unpaid

after release from custody, nominal monthly payments of at least 10 percent of defendant's gross monthly income but not less than \$100, whichever is greater, shall be made during the period of supervised release and shall begin 90 days after the commencement of supervision.

Nominal restitution payments are ordered as the Court finds that the defendant's economic circumstances do not allow for either immediate or future payment of the amount ordered.

Interest on the restitution ordered is waived because the defendant does not have the ability to pay interest. Payments may be subject to penalties for default and delinquency pursuant to 18 United States Code, Section 3612(g).

The defendant shall comply with Second Amended General Order 20-04. All fines are waived as the Court finds that the defendant has established that he's unable to pay and is not likely to become able to pay any fine.

Has there been an order of forfeiture requested, Mr. Schwab?

MR. SCHWAB: No, Your Honor. There's no seized assets in this instance.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Would you like a recommendation to medical facility, Counsel?

```
MR. THREATT: Yes, Your Honor. And specifically
 1
 2
     in Southern California if the Court is amenable to making
     that recommendation.
 3
               THE COURT: Which do you give preference to?
 4
     think, if his condition is as bad as they say, they're going
 5
     to put him in a medical facility regardless but I can --
 6
 7
               MR. THREATT: I believe that's right, Your Honor.
     I believe we'd prefer the recommendation for Southern
 8
     California.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Okay. I'll make those
11
     recommendations.
               Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984,
12
     it's the judgment of the Court that the defendant
13
14
     David Joseph Bunevacz is committed on Counts 1 and 2 of the
     Indictment to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a
15
    period of 210 months.
16
               This term consists of 210 months on each of Counts
17
     1 and 2 of the Indictment to be served concurrently.
18
19
               The Court recommends that the defendant be
     considered for the Bureau of Prisons residential drug abuse
20
     program or RDAP.
21
22
               On release from imprisonment, the defendant shall
     be placed on supervised release for a term of three years.
23
24
     This term consists of three years on each of Counts 1 and 2
25
     of the Indictment. All such terms to run concurrently under
```

the following terms and conditions:

The defendant shall comply with the rules and regulations of the US Probation and Pretrial Services Office and Second Amended General Order 20-04 including the conditions of probation and supervised release set forth in Section 3 of Second Amended General Order 20-04.

- 2. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. He shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from custody and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter not to exceed eight tests per month as directed by the probation officer.
- 3. The defendant shall participate in an outpatient substance abuse treatment and counseling program that includes urinalysis, breath, or sweat-patch testing as directed by the probation officer.

He shall abstain from using alcohol and illicit drugs and from abusing prescription medications during the period of supervision.

4. During the course of supervision, the probation officer, with the agreement of the defendant and defense counsel, may place the defendant in a residential drug treatment program approved by the US Probation and Pretrial Services Office for treatment of narcotic addiction or drug dependency which may include counseling and testing to determine if the defendant has reverted to the use of

drugs. He shall reside in the treatment program until discharged by the program director and the probation officer.

5. As directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall pay all or part of the cost of the court-ordered treatment to the after-care contractors during the period of community supervision.

He shall provide payment and proof of payment as directed by the probation officer. If the defendant has no ability to pay, no payment shall be required.

- 6. During the period of community supervision, the defendant shall pay the special assessment and restitution in accordance with this judgment's orders pertaining to such payment.
- 7. He shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample.
- 8. He shall not be employed in any capacity wherein he has custody, control, or management of defendant's employer's funds.
- 9. He shall not engage as whole or partial owner, employee, or otherwise in any business involving loan programs, telemarking activities, investment programs, or any other business involving the solicitation of funds or cold calls to customers without the express approval of the probation officer prior to engaging in such payment.

I'm going to add the approval of this Court is necessary.

Further, he shall provide the probation officer with access to any and all business records, client lists, and other records pertaining to the operation of any business owned in whole or part by the defendant as directed by the probation officer.

- 10. He shall not be self-employed nor be employed in a position that does not provide regular paystubs with the appropriate deductions for taxes unless approved by the probation officer.
- 11. He shall apply all monies received from income tax refunds, lottery winnings, inheritance, judgments, and any other financial gains to the court-ordered obligation.

This condition should not be read to suggest that I approve of him spending any money on lotteries. Indeed, he's not to do so. However, if someone else wanted to buy him a ticket and give it to him, that would be, I suppose, none of the Court's business.

12. The defendant shall submit the defendant's person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, computers, cellphones, other electronic communications, or storage devices or media, email accounts, social media accounts, cloud storage accounts, or other areas under his

control to a search conducted by a US Probation Officer or law enforcement officer.

Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. The defendant shall warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.

Any search pursuant to this condition will be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner on reasonable suspicion that the defendant has violated a condition of his supervision and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation.

13. He shall participate in a program for gambling treatment which may include evaluation and counseling as directed by the probation officer until discharged from the program by the service provider with the approval of probation officer.

The Court authorizes the Probation and Pretrial Services Office to disclose the presentence report to the substance abuse treatment provider to facilitate the defendant's treatment for narcotic addiction or drug dependency.

Further re-disclosure of the presentence report by the treatment provider is prohibited without the consent of this Court.

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the

US Marshal.

Does either counsel have anything further?

MR. SCHWAB: Your Honor, in the interest of justice, the government moves to dismiss the remaining counts of the Indictment.

THE COURT: Granted.

MR. THREATT: Nothing further from the defense, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The statement of reasons shall be included in the Commitment Order and Judgment and shall be provided to the probation office, the Sentencing Commission, and the Bureau of Prisons.

A complete copy of the presentence report shall be provided to the Bureau of Prisons. That includes the changes I made. Any other copies of the report and related materials shall remain confidential.

The Sentencing Commission gets a copy as well.

If an appeal is taken, Counsel on appeal shall have access to the report.

Sir, you have a right to appeal your conviction if you believe that your guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there's some other fundamental defect in the proceedings that was not waived by your guilty plea.

You also have a right to appeal your sentence

under some circumstances particularly if you think your sentence is contrary to law.

You have entered into a plea agreement that waives some or all of your right to appeal your conviction and other issues. Such waivers are generally enforceable. The plea agreement controls your right to appeal and if you believe the waiver is unenforceable, you can present that theory to the Court of Appeals.

This sentence exceeds the sentence in the appeal waiver so you do have a right to appeal that sentence to the extent described in the plea agreement.

To the extent you retained any right to appeal, with few exceptions the Notice of Appeal must be filed within 14 days of judgment being entered.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you're unable to afford a transcript of the record in this case, one will be provided at government expense.

If you're unable to pay the cost of an appeal or a filing fee, you may apply for leave to appeal *In Forma*Pauperis.

If you do not have counsel to act on your behalf and if you request it, the Clerk of the Court will prepare a Notice of Appeal on your behalf.

You must make that request within 14 days. The Notice of Appeal must designate the judgment or order appealed from and the fact that you're appealing to the Court of Appeals. It should designate the portion of the proceedings not already on file that you deem necessary for the reporter to include. Anything further? MR. SCHWAB: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much. MR. THREATT: No, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. THE CLERK: Court's in recess. (At 10:28 a.m., proceedings were concluded.) -000-

CERTIFICATE I, PAT CUNEO, CSR 1600, hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Date: December 13, 2022 /s/ PAT CUNEO, OFFICIAL REPORTER CSR NO. 1600 

(==:=:::,				<b>,</b>
	<b>\$402,000 [1]</b> 11/10	3	52/6	affected [3] 19/13
MD SCHWAD: [11]	<b>\$5,000 [1]</b> 26/8	<b>30 [3]</b> 17/21 22/12	accepted [1] 47/12	23/16 37/2
MR. SCHWAB: [11]	<b>\$80,000 [2]</b> 25/22 25/25		accepts [1] 48/25	affirmatively [1] 45/20
3/9 10/7 10/10 10/13	<b>\$88,000 [1]</b> 39/2	22/18	access [2] 59/4 61/20	afford [3] 44/7 50/24
18/13 28/7 43/22 53/6		<b>300</b> [1] 38/23	<b>accordance</b> [1] 58/13	62/17
55/21 61/3 63/8	-	312 [1] 2/4	accordingly [1] 7/20	after [14] 11/5 19/21
MR. THREATT: [17]	-oOo [2] 3/2 63/14	<b>32 [2]</b> 43/15 51/18	account [4] 5/21 7/19	21/1 25/11 29/20 35/12
3/11 4/3 4/5 4/8 5/3 5/6		<b>321 [1]</b> 2/8	8/10 43/13	36/22 37/1 37/8 45/6
5/10 5/17 8/4 15/4 15/7	/	<b>35 million [1]</b> 26/13	accounted [3] 7/18	53/15 55/1 55/5 58/6
53/14 53/17 56/1 56/7	/ <b>s [1]</b> 64/15	<b>350 [1]</b> 1/22	16/3 48/15	after-care [1] 58/6
61/7 63/9	<del>/0 [1] 0 // 10</del>	<b>3553 [4]</b> 8/5 44/2 52/3	accounts [4] 47/13	again [8] 3/4 7/17
MR. WASSERMAN: [1]	0	54/2	59/24 59/25 59/25	11/11 12/22 15/25 18/1
3/16	<b>04 [3]</b> 55/16 57/4 57/6	<b>36 [4]</b> 52/1 52/17 52/23		
<b>THE CLERK: [2]</b> 3/3	<u></u>	53/10	accurate [1] 43/6	32/4 33/12
63/11	1	<b>360 [1]</b> 38/23	acknowledge [2] 5/17	against [2] 24/21 50/7
THE COURT: [53]	<b>1,350,000 [1]</b> 23/1	<b>3612 [1]</b> 55/14	14/8	aggravated [1] 28/9
3/15 3/17 4/4 4/6 4/9	<b>1,700,000</b> [1] 23/10	<b>3C1.1 [2]</b> 39/22 46/11	acknowledged [1]	aggravating [4] 8/10
4/12 4/15 4/17 4/20	1.5 million [1] 21/10		15/25	45/10 45/15 46/14
4/23 4/25 5/4 5/9 5/15	<b>10 [2]</b> 28/17 59/8	4	acknowledges [2] 8/9	aggravation [1] 52/11
8/3 9/22 10/6 10/9		<b>4311 [1]</b> 1/23	8/18	aggregate [1] 5/21
10/11 15/2 15/6 18/11	10 percent [1] 55/2	<b>4A1.3 [1]</b> 41/13	act [3] 13/11 56/12	ago [4] 26/14 27/17
18/16 18/21 19/1 19/4	<b>10:28 [1]</b> 63/12		62/23	30/4 30/6
19/6 19/10 24/3 24/5	<b>11 [1]</b> 59/12	5	activities [1] 58/22	agree [5] 11/23 15/9
24/8 24/10 28/5 28/11	<b>12 [3]</b> 26/13 28/16	<b>50 [1]</b> 30/7	actual [3] 14/17 49/5	22/24 39/4 49/23
28/14 32/6 32/16 33/5	59/21		49/6	agreed [3] 22/11 29/20
33/11 34/16 38/9 38/12	<b>13 [2]</b> 60/12 64/10	7	actually [9] 13/9 14/1	51/17
43/24 53/13 53/16	<b>135 [3]</b> 43/17 43/23	<b>753 [1]</b> 64/4	14/12 20/14 36/5 37/11	agreement [7] 8/16
53/23 55/23 56/4 56/10	53/8		37/15 45/19 49/5	39/12 50/9 57/20 62/3
61/6 61/9 62/17 63/10	<b>14 [2]</b> 62/14 63/1	8	add [4] 5/22 7/22 7/24	62/6 62/11
	<b>15 [1]</b> 57/9	<b>855,000 [1]</b> 23/10	59/1	agrees [2] 41/8 47/18
<b>THE DEFENDANT: [8]</b> 4/11 4/14 4/16 4/19	<b>1600 [3]</b> 1/21 64/3	<b>87 [2]</b> 8/7 9/19	added [1] 5/12	ahead [2] 19/10 53/16
4/22 4/24 10/5 62/16	64/16		addendum [2] 3/21	alcohol [1] 57/16
	<b>168 [1]</b> 43/17	9	15/10	<b>ALEXANDER [2]</b> 2/3
THE WITNESS: [1]	<b>1782 [1]</b> 1/24	<b>90 [1]</b> 55/4	addiction [2] 57/23	3/10
18/20	18 United [3] 44/2 54/1	<b>90012 [3]</b> 1/23 2/5 2/8	60/20	Alexander Schwab [1]
VICTIM B.S.: [1] 34/18	00,.0	<b>9:12 [2]</b> 1/17 3/1	addition [8] 10/21	3/10
VICTIM C.C.: [2] 32/8	<b>19 [1]</b> 27/17	<b>9th [1]</b> 35/14	21/14 31/7 41/24 42/25	all [40] 3/18 5/9 5/25
32/17	<b>1984 [1]</b> 56/12		46/24 47/1 50/24	8/7 8/10 10/21 11/8
VICTIM G.H.: [3] 24/6	2	Α	additional [13] 5/12	18/16 19/6 21/16 22/6
24/9 24/11		<b>a.m [3]</b> 1/17 3/1 63/12	5/23 7/22 10/24 11/4	26/7 26/10 29/25 31/3
VICTIM I.C.: [2] 33/7	<b>20 [2]</b> 30/4 30/6	abiding [1] 46/17	16/10 17/7 22/9 22/14	31/10 31/13 31/17
33/12	<b>20-04 [3]</b> 55/16 57/4	ability [9] 4/7 16/18	29/24 44/22 47/15	32/20 32/21 33/4 33/11
VICTIM S.S.: [6] 18/22		23/25 30/24 40/24	48/16	33/13 34/14 35/23 36/4
19/3 19/5 19/9 19/11	<b>20-year [1]</b> 50/3	42/20 48/9 55/11 58/10	address [8] 6/20 10/7	37/6 37/8 38/5 38/12
24/4	<b>2008 [1]</b> 24/12	able [5] 14/13 25/8	11/18 18/15 18/23	43/7 52/2 53/23 55/16
VICTIM T.D.: [2] 28/12		50/16 51/12 55/18	19/16 50/10 50/16	56/25 58/5 59/4 59/12
28/15	<b>2011 [1]</b> 35/14	about [32] 4/6 6/24	addressed [1] 40/21	62/4 63/10
<b>\$</b>	<b>2012 [3]</b> 28/18 38/15	7/15 15/17 16/18 17/15	adequate [2] 44/7	allegation [1] 7/10
	39/5	19/22 26/9 27/4 27/6	50/25	allegations [1] 15/17
<b>\$1 [3]</b> 22/9 22/14 22/21	<b>2014 [1]</b> 28/20	27/9 27/11 27/13 27/21	adjustment [1] 11/24	allege [1] 6/18
\$1 million [1] 22/14	<b>2017 [1]</b> 38/22	29/15 30/7 30/14 30/17	administration [2] 13/2	
<b>\$1.35</b> [1] 22/21	<b>2018 [4]</b> 19/21 21/9	30/21 31/4 32/1 32/24	39/25	15/13 16/3 16/12 42/5
\$1.35 million [1] 22/21	21/10 21/13	33/14 34/6 36/12 37/20	admitted [2] 39/12	allegedly [2] 17/11
<b>\$100 [1]</b> 55/3	<b>2019 [3]</b> 21/23 22/5	37/21 39/13 39/14 45/4	41/25	41/18
<b>\$185,000 [1]</b> 39/1	28/18	45/21 51/9	adopt [3] 43/9 51/21	allow [1] 55/8
<b>\$200 [2]</b> 43/19 54/4	<b>2022 [4]</b> 1/17 3/1 39/14	above [3] 21/1 21/14	51/22	allowed [1] 52/8
<b>\$25 [2]</b> 54/7 54/22	64/10	64/7	adopts [1] 54/13	allowing [1] 18/23
<b>\$3 [1]</b> 25/9	<b>21 [2]</b> 1/17 3/1			
<b>\$3 million [1]</b> 25/9	<b>210 [5]</b> 52/18 52/24	above-entitled [1] 64/7	advance [1] 21/4	almost [5] 26/20 30/4
<b>\$3,500,000 [1]</b> 23/23	53/12 56/16 56/17	abstain [1] 57/16	advanced [1] 8/22	30/6 33/20 40/9
<b>\$3.5 [5]</b> 21/4 21/19	<b>213-894-1782 [1]</b> 1/24	<b>abuse [3]</b> 56/20 57/13	adversely [2] 19/12	alone [1] 46/12
22/15 22/19 23/5	<b>22-175-DSF [1]</b> 1/8	60/19	23/16	along [1] 13/11
<b>\$3.5 million [4]</b> 21/4	<b>240 [2]</b> 52/1 53/12	abusing [1] 57/17	advice [1] 38/4	already [13] 5/21 6/5
21/19 22/19 23/5		accept [3] 14/10 40/21	advise [1] 49/10	6/12 7/18 7/19 7/20
AAE AAE AA E41	<b>28      </b> 04/4	50/7	Ladvicam, [O] 10/40	1 0/10 1 <i>1/E</i> 1 <i>E/O</i> 10/E
\$35,267,851.98 [1]	<b>28 [1]</b> 64/4 <b>2B1.1 [2]</b> 42/14 49/16	52/7	advisory [2] 43/10	8/10 14/5 16/3 16/5
<b>\$35,267,851.98 [1]</b> 54/11	<b>28</b> [1] 64/4 <b>2B1.1</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 42/14 49/16	52/7 acceptance [2] 50/6	<b>advisory [2]</b> 43/10 43/11	8/10 14/5 16/3 16/5 16/23 21/20 63/5

also [18] 3/13 3/23 8/17 10/15 13/16 14/20 20/9 23/24 34/22 37/17 43/4 44/1 44/15 45/23 46/14 47/18 50/11 61/25

although [3] 40/7 47/22 49/6

always [1] 37/6 **am [7]** 18/14 19/3 19/18 32/15 45/1 46/6 48/15

amazing [1] 32/20 amenable [1] 56/2 **Amended [3]** 55/15 57/4 57/6

**AMERICA [2]** 1/6 3/6 among [2] 11/4 44/13 amount [14] 6/1 6/22 7/17 7/21 8/17 14/11 36/20 49/2 49/5 49/6 54/11 54/14 54/25 55/9 analysis [3] 17/4 43/12

49/1 **Angeles [6]** 1/16 1/23 2/5 2/8 3/1 20/17 anniversaries [1] 29/8 another [5] 20/16 30/19 32/8 39/2 41/15 any [36] 4/6 4/17 7/10 8/1 9/5 9/15 9/21 11/19 14/25 15/2 15/19 17/16 18/9 20/24 40/4 41/4 42/5 44/18 44/22 45/4 53/3 54/6 54/25 55/18 57/7 58/17 58/21 58/23 59/4 59/5 59/14 59/17 60/4 60/7 61/16 62/12 anybody [2] 9/23 34/17 anyone [6] 18/14 18/18 articulate [1] 35/7 28/6 32/7 33/6 38/10 anything [6] 4/25 5/4 10/3 45/2 61/2 63/7

apart [1] 24/16 apparently [2] 48/3 50/17 appeal [16] 1/14 31/25

anywhere [1] 44/19

61/19 61/19 61/21 61/25 62/4 62/6 62/9 62/10 62/12 62/13 62/20 62/21 62/25 63/2

appealed [1] 63/3 appealing [1] 63/3 **Appeals [2]** 62/8 63/4 appear [1] 45/18 appearance [1] 29/19 appearances [2] 2/1

appears [3] 40/20 47/14 50/7

applicable [2] 44/11

44/12 application [3] 6/8 6/16 42/13

applied [3] 49/5 49/16 52/21

applies [1] 42/10 apply [5] 16/2 18/8 52/22 59/12 62/21 appointed [2] 8/13 27/18

appointment [1] 28/2 appropriate [10] 8/6 13/17 13/21 41/14 46/12 48/2 49/23 51/24 52/14 59/10

approval [3] 58/24 59/1 60/16

**approve [1]** 59/17 **approved [2]** 57/22 59/10

approximately [1] 54/20

**April [1]** 39/14 April 2022 [1] 39/14 are [32] 7/2 7/3 7/15 7/17 7/19 9/11 10/19 10/22 11/12 11/23 14/19 17/12 17/15 18/13 18/22 19/2 31/3 32/23 33/9 38/1 38/6 43/11 45/9 46/13 47/21 50/16 50/18 51/7 52/15 55/6 55/16 62/5

areas [2] 59/25 60/10 argues [2] 38/13 39/8 arguing [1] 12/3 argument [1] 15/23 arguments [1] 15/11 arose [1] 41/1 arrest [1] 9/2

as [85] aside [2] 6/20 42/1

ask [3] 30/1 33/14 37/23

asked [1] 29/15 asking [3] 11/24 18/17 40/16

asks [1] 48/24 assessment [3] 43/18 54/4 58/12

assets [3] 20/3 20/5 55/22

**ASSISTANT** [1] 2/3 assuage [1] 51/8 at [45] 3/13 6/17 8/19 9/11 9/18 9/24 9/25 10/19 11/3 11/12 14/18 16/13 17/1 17/23 18/15 19/17 26/4 26/20 28/20 29/18 29/22 30/18 32/11 34/20 35/3 35/8 35/22 36/4 39/14 41/15

42/25 44/24 47/14

48/23 49/10 51/16 52/12 52/23 54/7 54/22 55/1 57/9 60/8 62/19 63/12

attached [1] 8/23 attempted [2] 13/1 46/24

attending [1] 29/12 attention [1] 26/23 attorney [3] 2/2 2/3 19/24

attorneys [2] 4/20 24/18

audited [1] 20/1 auditors [1] 23/13 August [1] 19/21 August-September [1] 19/21

authorizes [1] 60/17 available [2] 23/7 44/9 avoid [2] 44/13 52/10 away [1] 10/1

back [12] 14/13 25/8 26/8 26/11 27/5 30/9 33/18 35/17 35/20 36/7 36/8 38/2

**bad [1]** 56/5 **Bahamas** [1] 29/7 **balance** [1] 54/6 bank [3] 13/11 22/21 23/1

bankruptcy [3] 7/11 7/13 24/25

**Barbara** [1] 29/10 barn [4] 34/20 35/22 35/25 36/4

base [1] 49/22 based [7] 7/7 12/12 40/17 43/21 43/22 43/25 52/3

basis [6] 8/15 13/14 18/7 18/10 28/10 40/22 battery [1] 22/4

be [77] bears [2] 9/10 42/12 became [5] 7/10 24/12 28/21 28/25 48/7 because [26] 6/18 7/15

8/8 9/3 11/1 16/4 16/22 | briefly [3] 15/4 15/8 16/23 17/2 23/6 24/20 26/11 31/5 32/2 32/19 33/2 34/1 36/24 37/13 39/5 39/19 40/18 45/10 | brought [3] 20/24 45/20 49/15 55/11

become [2] 48/9 55/18 becoming [1] 46/17 been [21] 8/21 8/23 9/14 10/20 15/18 20/6 22/6 23/11 23/16 23/18 25/16 34/22 35/16 36/18 37/18 44/14

45/20 46/5 47/4 48/14

55/19 before [11] 9/2 9/14 10/18 15/5 17/13 31/20 33/9 35/4 37/18 52/17

began [2] 21/23 39/13 begin [1] 55/4 beginning [2] 30/3 30/14

behalf [5] 3/10 3/12 34/19 62/23 62/25 behavior [4] 31/25 35/21 37/12 37/19 behind [1] 27/14 being [8] 7/20 8/13 12/10 13/10 30/15 31/3

45/6 62/14 believe [8] 13/9 37/17 44/22 53/9 56/7 56/8 61/22 62/7

believed [4] 29/14 29/14 47/11 47/24 believing [1] 30/20 below [4] 9/11 9/18 14/24 36/6

benchmark [1] 43/12 benefit [1] 52/5 Bentley [1] 34/24 besides [1] 31/22 best [2] 26/24 38/1 beyond [1] 46/23

bench [1] 27/17

big [2] 25/20 25/20 biggest [2] 24/15 26/18 **Bill [1]** 34/18

birthdays [1] 29/8 blackjack [1] 26/6 **board [5]** 21/3 21/6 22/14 22/17 23/21 borrowed [1] 22/21

**both [5]** 5/18 15/11 30/9 34/23 45/24 brag [1] 31/4 brazen [1] 40/8 brazenly [1] 41/16 **brazenness** [1] 34/13

breath [1] 57/14 Brianna [3] 29/4 29/13 34/20

bridge [2] 29/17 29/25 16/16

bring [2] 25/13 26/25 brother [1] 24/14 27/15 35/11 bucks [1] 30/7 built [1] 30/9

**bunch [1]** 37/11 **BUNEVACZ [34]** 1/9 3/7 3/12 4/11 4/12 6/19 7/20 8/12 8/21 9/6 9/17 10/3 16/9 19/21 19/24

20/12 20/18 21/2 21/15

21/18 21/23 22/5 23/3 23/7 23/20 23/22 24/12 24/22 25/4 28/19 29/2 29/23 45/2 56/14 Bunevacz's [5] 15/19

17/10 19/11 23/17 45/8 burden [2] 42/12 42/16 Bureau [8] 50/13 50/15 54/8 54/23 56/15 56/20 61/12 61/15

bus [1] 30/7 **Bush [1]** 27/18 business [15] 20/23 23/6 24/13 25/3 25/9 33/7 33/19 36/15 47/9 47/22 58/21 58/23 59/4 59/6 59/20

**businesses [3]** 20/17 20/19 20/25

businessman [2] 25/2 34/11

**but [51]** 6/24 9/10 11/16 13/16 14/23 17/14 18/2 18/15 24/18 25/6 25/14 25/17 26/3 26/4 26/6 26/10 26/13 26/24 27/11 27/15 27/19 27/22 30/7 31/3 31/12 32/23 34/4 34/8 34/13 34/25 35/7 36/18 37/13 37/15 37/22 38/1 38/24 41/6 42/13 44/2 48/2 48/17 49/8 49/21 51/22 52/8 52/21 52/25 53/25 55/2 56/6 buy [3] 31/5 33/25

buying [1] 22/10 buys [1] 47/4

59/18

C.C [1] 32/8 **C.W [1]** 10/24 CA [2] 1/23 3/1 calculated [3] 13/25 43/21 50/21 **calculates [1]** 13/23

calculating [1] 48/22 calculation [3] 5/11 16/6 43/9

calculations [1] 43/23 CALIFORNIA [7] 1/2 1/16 2/5 2/8 28/18 56/2 56/9

call [1] 37/4 called [3] 24/14 35/19 36/11 calls [1] 58/24

came [4] 20/21 26/7 32/13 35/6 can [10] 3/18 8/3 10/16

30/25 32/23 37/24 52/9 53/16 56/6 62/7 can't [1] 7/13

<u> </u>	
С	CERTIFICATE [1] 64/1
Canada [1] 19/19	certify [1] 64/3
Canadian [4] 22/22	challenges [1] 30/9
23/1 23/10 31/16	chance [3] 24/7 27/24
candidate [1] 14/4	53/1
candidates [1] 51/7	change [2] 5/1 37/22
cannabis [2] 20/16	changed [1] 27/8
22/7	changes [1] 61/16
cannot [2] 47/19 48/8	changing [1] 31/17 character [2] 33/3 51/3
CAO [1] 43/1	characteristics [2]
capable [2] 37/13	44/4 45/8
37/14	charge [2] 38/22 41/15
capacity [2] 34/13	charged [3] 8/13 38/15
58/17	45/6
capital [1] 23/25	charges [1] 38/19
capture [1] 48/18 captured [1] 47/19	Charlotte [2] 28/17
cardiologist [2] 8/22	29/3
9/1	charm [1] 26/15
care [10] 9/8 26/22	<b>charming [1]</b> 35/1
26/23 27/20 27/21 28/2	check [1] 37/1
28/13 30/14 33/22 58/6	checkups [1] 9/3
cared [3] 30/21 33/14	Chicago [1] 29/7
37/20	children [1] 30/15
cares [1] 37/20	<b>children's [2]</b> 30/24 42/22
cars [1] 33/15	China [0] 21/24 24/12
case [32] 3/6 5/22 5/25	chipped [1] 31/10
6/3 6/4 7/16 8/6 8/11	Christ [1] 30/22
10/19 14/2 14/3 14/15	cigarette [2] 20/17
14/24 15/18 15/21 16/4	31/14
16/5 16/9 16/21 17/1	Circuit [4] 6/3 6/8
17/6 24/21 39/5 39/11 40/18 42/1 45/6 45/11	40/20 51/6
45/20 47/14 50/4 62/18	circumstance [1]
Case No. CR	45/15
<b>22-175-DSF [1]</b> 3/6	circumstances [8] 6/2
cases [1] 48/6	7/7 23/8 44/3 45/9
casinos [1] 26/7	46/13 55/7 62/1 cited [2] 10/15 10/22
categories [1] 41/5	citizen [1] 46/17
category [13] 15/25	city [1] 31/15
40/13 41/9 41/22 42/5	civilly [1] 24/24
42/8 43/8 43/16 44/11	claimed [1] 47/10
44/12 50/22 52/17 53/11	claiming [2] 6/6 11/15
caught [4] 27/5 27/6	claims [1] 7/12
27/8 45/3	Clarita [1] 28/18
caused [8] 23/19 32/2	class [3] 16/22 25/23
36/3 36/22 37/11 45/13	28/24
46/16 48/4	classes [1] 28/24
causing [1] 45/5	<b>clear [5]</b> 6/1 15/13 18/4 25/16 42/3
<b>CB [2]</b> 19/22 21/4	clearly [1] 42/16
CBD [3] 21/16 22/7	Clerk [1] 62/24
22/13	clerk's [1] 54/17
Celebrated [1] 29/7	client [2] 4/2 59/4
cellphones [1] 59/23	close [3] 28/21 34/20
centered [1] 30/21	47/25
CENTRAL [1] 1/2 cents [1] 47/20	closely [2] 40/5 46/9
CEO [1] 19/18	closet [1] 31/7
certain [1] 22/10	closing [1] 22/13
certainly [8] 5/15 10/9	clothes [1] 31/8
10/11 16/14 17/23 34/9	cloud [1] 59/25
40/9 50/7	Club [1] 28/20
	CM [1] 1/21

<b>E [1]</b> 64/1	<b>Code [4]</b> 44/2 54/1 55/13 64/4
] 30/9 4/7 27/24	cold [1] 58/24 coldheartedness [1]
/1 37/22 27/8	34/2 collapse [1] 6/12 collection [1] 58/15
61/16 31/17	collectively [1] 36/3 college [1] 42/22
33/3 51/3 cs [2]	<b>come [2]</b> 3/3 51/12 <b>comes [1]</b> 27/19
3/22 41/15 3/13 38/15	comfortable [1] 28/14 coming [1] 37/16 commencement [1]
38/19 28/17	55/5 comment [1] 45/18 commentary [3] 5/25
/15 35/1	16/1 18/6 Commerce [1] 23/1 commission [3] 40/7
'1 9/3	61/12 61/18 commit [5] 12/18 40/15
29/7 30/15 30/24	41/11 41/22 42/1 Commitment [1] 61/11 committed [2] 44/11 56/14
24 24/12 31/10 /22	committing [4] 12/5 12/5 40/25 51/4 communications [1]
22 29/17	59/23
3 6/8	<b>community [3]</b> 38/24 58/7 58/11 <b>companies [2]</b> 19/14
e [1]	23/7 company [24] 7/13
<b>es [8]</b> 6/2 45/9 2/1	19/5 19/19 19/20 19/23 19/25 21/3 21/11 21/14 22/15 23/3 23/5 23/9
5 10/22 5/17	23/9 23/11 23/14 23/22 24/1 31/14 31/16 32/14 35/5 35/25 47/16
24 7/10	company's [3] 20/3 22/16 22/19
6/6 11/15 12 /18	comparable [1] 16/1 compared [1] 31/12 compares [1] 14/14
22 25/23	comparing [1] 49/19 comparison [3] 49/21
8/24 15/13 18/4	49/23 49/24 <b>compassionate [1]</b> 32/19
2/16 24 /17	compels [1] 45/15 complete [5] 21/19 22/8 23/3 32/24 61/14
59/4 21 34/20	completed [1] 22/10 Completely [1] 32/13 completing [1] 21/1
0/5 46/9 /7 2/13	complex [1] 24/22 complied [1] 51/20 comply [3] 53/25 55/15
1/8 25	57/2 components [1] 22/6
0	computers [1] 59/23

```
concerned [1] 35/21
                        concerning [1] 20/3
                        concerns [3] 4/6 50/16
                        51/9
                        conclude [2] 17/23
                        52/13
                        concluded [2] 14/1
                        63/12
                        concludes [4] 13/21
                        40/10 45/12 46/11
                        conclusion [3] 12/23
                        46/7 51/23
                        conclusory [1] 17/15
                        concrete [1] 7/2
                        concurrently [2] 56/18
                        56/25
                        condition [7] 31/6
                        50/12 56/5 59/16 60/6
                        60/7 60/10
                        conditions [2] 57/1
                        57/5
                        conduct [23] 12/20
                        12/24 13/7 13/15 15/14
                        15/19 39/5 39/6 40/3
                        40/4 40/8 41/2 41/17
                        41/20 42/6 44/7 45/4
                        46/2 46/9 46/15 47/8
                        47/19 50/25
                        conducted [3] 19/23
                        60/1 60/8
                        Conference [1] 64/9
                        conferred [1] 53/5
                        confidence [1] 25/7
                        confident [1] 46/6
                        confidential [2] 54/18
                        61/17
                        conformance [1] 64/8
                        Congress [1] 50/4
                        connection [1] 44/20
                        conniving [1] 33/2
                        consent [1] 60/23
                        consequences [3]
                        6/25 30/6 48/11
                        Consequently [1]
                        32/15
                        consider [7] 6/1 12/14
                        13/17 37/23 42/15
                        46/17 50/19
                        considerable [1] 23/18
                        considerably [1] 42/4
                        consideration [1] 37/4
                        considered [10] 3/21
                        3/23 7/14 12/10 16/13
                        16/15 39/20 48/21
                        52/16 56/20
                        considering [5] 9/15
                        42/5 44/1 44/9 44/16
                        considers [1] 44/15
                        consistently [1] 37/9
                        consists [2] 56/17
                        56/24
                        constitute [1] 45/23
computers [1] 59/23
                        consulting [1] 43/13
concern [1] 37/12
                        contain [1] 60/11
```

{DATE} contemplated [1] 40/8 contends [4] 39/19 40/12 40/23 42/9 contents [1] 4/4 contest [2] 4/25 12/22 contesting [3] 8/17 14/10 16/9 continuation [1] 46/1 continue [3] 30/25 38/2 51/15 continued [6] 12/18 12/18 26/14 39/14 41/17 41/20 continuing [1] 42/1 contractors [1] 58/6 **contracts** [1] 31/15 contrary [1] 62/2 control [2] 58/18 60/1 controlled [1] 57/8 controls [1] 62/6 conversation [2] 35/15 37/1 conversations [1] 27/3 convertible [2] 21/5 22/14 convicted [1] 44/14 conviction [12] 12/1 13/4 13/13 15/16 39/17 39/20 40/2 40/4 40/17 46/16 61/21 62/4 convince [2] 35/24 47/8 **convinced [2]** 47/16 51/15 convincing [1] 44/25 **cooperate** [1] 58/15 copies [1] 61/16 **copy [2]** 61/14 61/18 Corp [1] 19/22 corporations [2] 7/9 7/10 **correct [3]** 43/6 52/20 64/5 corrupt [1] 30/25 cost [2] 58/5 62/20 costs [1] 23/12 **could [13]** 9/6 15/4 17/1 25/25 27/5 27/7 27/7 27/22 33/24 35/8 35/8 36/18 37/16 couldn't [2] 31/5 34/11 counsel [11] 3/13 3/18 8/13 52/25 53/3 53/5 55/25 57/21 61/2 61/19 62/23 **counseling [3]** 57/13

57/24 60/14

56/24 61/5

57/19

court [72]

count [2] 12/1 28/9

counts [4] 56/14 56/17

course [3] 33/20 44/20

Court's [9] 40/24 43/12

## C Court's... [7] 43/21 43/23 44/19 51/9 54/14 59/20 63/11 court-ordered [2] 58/6 59/15 Courthouse [2] 1/22 courtroom [1] 31/9 courts [1] 16/18 CR [2] 1/8 3/6 credibility [1] 20/21 credit [4] 14/9 22/22 22/24 22/25 crime [5] 12/19 43/18 49/20 51/5 51/10 crimes [12] 40/15 41/11 41/22 44/8 49/20 49/20 49/22 49/24 49/25 50/2 51/1 51/6 criminal [23] 11/22 11/24 12/13 12/17 15/24 31/4 40/12 40/14 41/1 41/4 41/8 41/10 41/23 42/7 43/8 43/15 44/7 46/16 50/22 50/25 51/19 52/16 53/10 criteria [1] 11/11 crook [1] 34/10 CRR [1] 1/21 CRR-CM [1] 1/21 **CSR [3]** 1/21 64/3 64/16 CUAUHTOMAC [1] 2/6 **CUNEO [3]** 1/21 64/3 64/16 current [2] 39/6 43/13 Curt [1] 33/9 Curt's [1] 33/7 custody [12] 3/13 8/23 9/5 38/24 39/3 43/16 50/13 55/1 56/15 57/9 58/18 60/25 customers [1] 58/24 **DALE [1]** 1/4 **Danford [1]** 28/15 dare [1] 36/13 dark [1] 36/25

**Date [1]** 64/10 daughter [7] 19/18 28/16 29/3 29/4 34/19 37/25 37/25 daughter's [1] 29/12 **Dave [3]** 29/3 29/15 31/4 **DAVID [39]** 1/9 2/7 3/7 3/12 3/14 19/11 19/17 19/20 19/24 20/11 20/12 20/18 20/20 21/2 21/15 21/18 21/23 22/5 22/12 23/3 23/7 23/16 23/20 23/22 28/19

28/22 29/5 30/2 30/5 30/23 31/21 31/24 32/9 32/12 33/8 34/23 35/4 35/15 56/14 David Bunevacz [13] 3/12 19/24 20/12 20/18 21/2 21/15 21/18 21/23 22/5 23/3 23/7 23/20 23/22 David Joseph [1] 56/14 David Wasserman [1] 3/14 **David's [1]** 31/2 day [6] 24/7 24/11 24/17 24/23 24/23 34/25 days [9] 22/12 22/18 28/22 35/3 38/23 55/4 57/9 62/14 63/1 deadly [1] 9/7 deal [4] 14/23 24/15 38/19 47/3 dealing [1] 6/10 deals [2] 29/17 34/11 **debt [1]** 21/5 debts [1] 23/9 decade [2] 25/12 46/15 **December [2]** 21/10 64/10 **December 2018 [1]** 21/10 decision [1] 20/12 **declines** [1] 40/11 **deductions** [1] 59/10 deem [1] 63/5 deep [1] 30/21 default [1] 55/12 defaulted [1] 20/23 defeating [1] 46/19 defect [1] 61/23 defendant [62] 1/10 2/6 3/25 6/5 12/16 12/25 14/7 14/9 14/12 38/13 38/15 39/8 39/12 39/15 39/18 39/21 39/24 40/9 40/15 41/11 41/14 41/16 41/25 42/7 42/9 44/4 44/8 44/12 44/20 45/1 45/18 46/14 48/5 50/12 50/17 51/1 51/4 51/12 52/6 54/3 54/10 54/19 55/11 55/15 55/17 56/13 56/19 56/22 57/2 57/7 57/12 57/20 57/21 57/25 58/5 58/9 58/12 59/6 59/21 60/4 60/9 60/25 defendant's [23] 3/25

11/21 11/24 40/4 40/14

42/19 45/12 45/25 46/3

47/19 49/13 50/6 50/11

40/18 41/3 41/10 42/3

53/11 55/2 55/7 58/19 59/21 60/20 defendants [2] 42/4 44/13 **DEFENDER** [1] 2/6 defense [6] 48/24 49/18 50/18 53/5 57/21 61/7 defraud [3] 39/13 46/18 51/13 defrauded [1] 49/12 defrauding [2] 39/10 44/22 degree [2] 14/23 17/20 delay [1] 10/25 delaying [2] 17/16 17/18 delays [4] 21/24 22/3 22/3 22/4 **deliberate [2]** 19/12 45/23 delinquency [1] 55/13 **delivered [1]** 21/13 delivery [3] 21/21 22/8 22/11 delve [1] 17/3 demonstrated [1] 12/17 demonstrates [1] 13/15 denies [1] 49/4 dentist [1] 33/16 depart [2] 40/17 51/19 **department** [2] 15/11 15/22 departure [9] 11/21 12/10 12/12 15/24 40/25 41/5 41/13 50/10 | disagree [1] 13/8 53/10 dependency [2] 57/24 60/21 depleting [1] 47/13 depressed [1] 48/10 **DEPUTY [2]** 2/7 2/7 described [6] 14/20 34/3 41/5 44/1 46/10 62/11 deserved [1] 33/25 designate [2] 63/2 63/4 **designer** [1] 31/7 desperate [1] 47/2 despite [1] 15/17 destroyed [1] 48/13 destruction [3] 14/6 14/21 32/2 detailed [3] 8/15 17/4 42/17 details [3] 20/8 26/4 30/2 detention [1] 12/7 determination [3] 43/25 48/16 54/14

determining [2] 11/3 42/15 deterrence [3] 44/7 50/25 51/7 devastation [2] 45/5 48/18 devices [1] 59/24 diagnosed [1] 8/21 did [29] 4/4 4/5 4/12 4/15 4/20 4/22 4/23 14/9 24/13 24/25 25/10 25/17 25/17 27/6 27/18 33/15 34/4 35/2 40/9 42/24 43/4 44/21 44/24 45/7 49/8 49/10 49/13 51/19 52/6 didn't [10] 25/24 27/8 31/11 31/21 33/10 35/6 37/13 37/14 37/15 37/15 difference [1] 17/20 different [6] 6/10 17/6 24/12 24/19 34/10 34/12 differently [2] 13/24 27/7 difficult [1] 51/11 diligence [6] 19/23 21/1 21/6 21/14 29/20 46/20 dipped [1] 29/22 direct [1] 49/8 directed [6] 57/11 57/15 58/4 58/9 59/6 60/14 director [2] 19/18 58/2 **Directors** [1] 21/3 disagreement [1] 48/20 disagrees [1] 49/4 discharged [2] 58/2 60/15 disclose [1] 60/18 disclosure [1] 60/22 discover [1] 51/12 discuss [1] 6/22 discussed [2] 9/9 22/13 discussion [1] 22/20 dishonest [1] 45/20 dismiss [1] 61/4 disparities [1] 44/13 dispensaries [1] 20/17 dispute [3] 5/11 18/1 39/18 dissuade [1] 51/3 distress [2] 16/24 36/2 distressed [1] 6/5 distributor [1] 20/8 distributors [3] 21/20 22/8 22/10 district [4] 1/1 1/2 3/4 24/18

{DATE} **DIVISION** [1] 1/3 **DNA [1]** 58/15 do [34] 4/6 4/8 4/9 4/17 11/18 14/8 17/7 18/13 25/17 27/5 27/11 28/1 28/2 32/3 33/12 33/23 33/24 34/11 35/12 35/18 40/24 44/21 46/8 48/17 51/2 51/15 51/16 51/22 55/7 56/4 59/18 62/10 62/15 62/23 documents [4] 4/2 4/13 25/17 46/22 does [18] 12/1 18/14 39/18 41/4 41/6 41/22 43/3 43/20 43/22 45/18 49/18 49/23 50/11 51/8 53/3 55/11 59/9 61/2 doesn't [3] 9/7 12/22 28/13 doing [3] 24/25 27/22 29/1 dollar [1] 31/9 dollars [5] 22/22 25/18 36/23 47/15 47/20 don't [21] 9/22 15/2 17/22 18/1 18/7 18/11 18/19 26/5 26/22 27/10 27/11 27/18 27/21 27/24 28/13 31/22 34/3 34/6 37/22 44/22 52/12 done [4] 25/3 26/3 27/7 35/16 doubt [1] 40/7 down [3] 26/6 27/19 37/16 downward [3] 14/4 48/24 51/19 draw [1] 10/16 driving [2] 33/14 34/24 dropped [1] 38/20 drug [7] 49/20 56/20 57/8 57/10 57/22 57/24 60/20 drugs [2] 57/17 58/1 **DSF [2]** 1/8 3/6 due [11] 19/23 21/1 21/6 21/14 29/20 31/6 46/20 54/4 54/6 54/14 54/21 duplication [1] 49/17 duplicative [1] 49/2 during [8] 39/16 54/6 54/21 55/3 57/17 57/19 58/6 58/11 Ε **e-cigarette [2]** 29/17 31/14

each [11] 6/2 11/15 17/4 17/8 37/3 37/7 37/8 54/15 54/19 56/17 56/24 earlier [1] 30/17

determine [1] 57/25

determined [1] 21/8

(BEI ENDANT)				(DATE)
E	entities [1] 47/23	extent [6] 11/18 13/23	filings [1] 35/18	fraudulent [10] 20/2
early [2] 50/6 52/9	entitled [1] 64/7	48/17 48/21 62/11	fill [1] 22/9	20/9 20/11 21/17 23/8
earn [1] 46/23	entourage [1] 46/24	62/12	filming [1] 29/13	39/6 39/7 41/20 42/19
easily [1] 42/13	entrepreneur [1] 29/19	extravagant [1] 47/7	final [2] 27/13 53/1	46/15
East [1] 2/8	equivalent [1] 53/9	extremely [5] 45/9	finally [3] 9/9 35/16	fraudulently [2] 45/10
easy [1] 35/1	escalated [1] 41/21	45/15 46/14 46/19 47/7	35/19	46/1
economic [3] 14/21	especially [3] 9/6 9/12	F	financial [38] 5/13 5/18	
51/6 55/7	44/2	face [1] 48/8		friend [5] 11/23 32/20
edition [1] 43/13	<b>established [3]</b> 44/10 50/3 55/17	facilitate [1] 60/19	10/14 11/3 11/13 16/16   16/19 16/24 17/5 17/8	33/20 34/1 47/12 friends [7] 24/13 28/22
education [1] 42/22	estimate [1] 16/19	facilities [1] 50/15	17/24 18/2 18/6 20/1	28/25 34/20 47/25 48/8
efforts [1] 41/18	ESTRADA [1] 2/2	facility [3] 31/15 55/25	36/23 38/4 42/11 42/15	48/13
egregious [1] 40/8	ethical [1] 36/14	56/6	42/20 43/2 43/4 48/1	friendship [1] 29/15
egregiously [1] 41/16	ethics [1] 38/6	fact [11] 11/12 11/25	48/7 48/18 49/1 49/9	frustrating [1] 38/5
eight [2] 8/23 57/10	evaluation [1] 60/13	13/13 13/15 14/9 14/11	49/25 50/2 51/10 53/19	fulfill [1] 21/12
<b>either [3]</b> 53/3 55/8 61/2	even [18] 9/2 10/20	20/6 20/13 20/22 35/13	54/8 54/23 59/14	fulfilling [1] 21/25
electronic [1] 59/23	12/17 17/18 25/24	63/3	financially [1] 30/10	full [2] 8/14 16/12
Eleventh [1] 51/6	26/22 32/24 35/11 42/1	factor [2] 12/11 50/10	find [6] 20/21 32/13	fully [2] 48/17 50/3
else [16] 18/18 18/18	42/5 46/15 46/19 48/4	factored [2] 16/5 53/21	43/6 50/11 51/25 53/24	fund [2] 42/22 43/19
24/5 28/6 32/7 33/6	48/10 49/14 50/21	factors [10] 8/5 8/10	finds [5] 42/7 48/20	fundamental [1] 61/23
34/17 35/2 36/25 37/2	52/12 52/16	11/2 42/15 44/1 48/14 48/20 49/3 50/19 52/4	50/20 55/7 55/17	funding [1] 23/4
37/5 37/13 37/16 37/18	event [1] 14/19	facts [3] 6/9 6/15 44/1	fine [4] 19/6 32/23 36/9	
38/10 59/18	<b>eventually [1]</b> 21/16 <b>ever [5]</b> 8/15 9/17	factual [4] 8/15 13/14	55/18 fines [1] 55/16	45/11 45/21 46/1 58/19 58/23
email [1] 59/24	14/12 34/4 45/1	28/10 51/21	Fiona [1] 34/19	further [10] 9/17 15/1
embarrassed [1] 48/8	every [6] 9/3 11/15	failure [3] 8/22 45/25	first [5] 1/22 1/22 34/20	
emerging [1] 29/19	28/23 34/25 35/25 37/3	60/3	40/10 53/7	60/22 61/2 61/7 63/7
emotional [4] 5/18	everybody [2] 32/2	fairly [1] 51/15	Fiscal [1] 54/17	furthermore [3] 8/21
6/23 18/2 48/3	37/2	faith [3] 30/12 30/16	FISCHER [1] 1/4	16/2 16/7
<b>employed [3]</b> 58/17 59/8 59/8	everyone [6] 14/22	30/22	fit [1] 41/4	future [2] 30/24 55/8
employee [1] 58/21	18/19 35/2 36/14 37/5	fall [2] 26/15 28/20	fitting [1] 41/6	G
employer's [1] 58/19	37/18	falling [1] 31/2	five [8] 5/13 10/14	
empty [1] 22/9	everything [7] 24/13	falls [1] 7/23	10/19 11/12 16/20	<b>G.H [2]</b> 7/15 10/21
encouraged [1] 42/23	24/16 25/8 30/5 32/21	false [3] 38/16 38/17	17/23 28/21 42/11	gaining [1] 35/8
end [11] 8/19 9/11 9/18	33/3 37/7	45/19 falsely [1] 6/6	flashiness [1] 34/23	gains [1] 59/14 gambling [3] 26/2 26/3
13/20 13/20 14/1 20/24	<b>evidence [3]</b> 20/20 50/7 60/11	families [2] 26/17 29/6	flaunted [1] 47/7	60/13
21/13 48/23 51/17	evil [1] 37/12	family [16] 25/21 26/18	following [3] 37/4	gave [3] 23/22 33/19
51/23	exactly [1] 12/11	28/21 29/2 29/5 29/23	53/24 57/1	36/5
ended [1] 24/25	example [3] 6/4 17/18	30/11 30/21 31/3 31/18		Gene [1] 24/9
endured [2] 5/18 18/2	50/4	35/25 47/3 47/6 47/25	foreclosure [1] 17/3	general [4] 51/7 55/16
enforceable [1] 62/5 enforcement [1] 60/2	examples [1] 7/2	48/8 48/12	foregoing [1] 64/5	57/4 57/6
engage [2] 46/25 58/20	<b>exceed [1]</b> 57/10	fancy [1] 33/15	forfeiture [1] 55/19	generally [4] 6/15 19/7
engaged [1] 46/14	exceeds [1] 62/9	far [2] 9/16 46/23	forged [1] 46/22	49/25 62/5
engaging [1] 58/25	excellent [1] 49/18	fast [1] 28/25	form [2] 16/10 39/16	gentleman [2] 30/17
enhancement [18]	except [1] 30/7	father [3] 10/25 32/11 32/17	Forma [1] 62/21	31/20 George [6] 6/4 7/5
6/16 7/4 7/14 11/20	exception [1] 43/7 exceptions [1] 62/13	FCC [1] 43/1	format [1] 64/7 formed [1] 46/22	<b>George [6]</b> 6/4 7/5 10/15 16/18 18/3 27/17
12/22 13/18 18/8 38/14	exchange [1] 38/20	fearful [1] 37/16	forth [3] 26/13 54/12	get [9] 4/12 18/19
39/21 40/11 42/10 43/5	exclusively [1] 44/3	federal [3] 2/6 15/20	57/5	24/17 27/6 27/8 31/5
46/23 48/1 49/10 49/14	excuse [2] 12/7 47/5	45/24	fortunate [1] 32/22	31/11 36/13 50/8
49/16 52/20	excuses [2] 21/24 22/2	fee [1] 62/21	forward [1] 29/21	gets [1] 61/18
<b>enhancements [4]</b> 6/9 48/15 48/19 53/21	Exhibit [1] 8/24	feeling [1] 36/17	forwarded [1] 54/16	getting [2] 9/4 35/17
enormity [1] 44/17	Exhibit D [1] 8/24	feelings [1] 27/15	fought [1] 24/24	gift [1] 31/24
enough [4] 4/1 17/22	expect [1] 14/15	fees [1] 23/13	foundering [1] 47/4	give [7] 18/17 26/23
24/21 33/16	expense [1] 62/19	fell [1] 24/16	four [3] 23/16 28/22	27/2 31/24 32/1 56/4
enter [1] 50/9	expenses [1] 23/14	felt [4] 22/15 29/18	51/25	59/19
entered [4] 7/13 8/12	expensive [1] 31/5	36/10 37/20 few [4] 7/2 9/3 32/12	four-level [1] 51/25	<b>given [9]</b> 7/23 10/17 13/9 17/6 17/6 17/17
62/3 62/14	<b>experience [1]</b> 19/17 <b>explain [2]</b> 4/4 4/20	62/13	frankly [1] 9/6 fraud [15] 7/19 8/14	20/6 22/2 32/3
entire [7] 6/19 16/22	explain [2] 4/4 4/20 explained [1] 24/19	fictitious [2] 22/1 23/4	19/11 20/20 21/21	giving [2] 24/6 38/4
17/12 31/3 31/17 32/13	explicitly [4] 10/20	file [2] 7/11 63/5	23/17 31/17 44/17	gloss [1] 18/5
42/23	11/2 13/13 13/16	filed [2] 24/24 62/13	45/13 45/24 46/5 46/25	gmail.com [1] 1/24
entirely [2] 48/2 52/2	express [1] 58/24	filing [1] 62/21	47/2 48/18 51/10	<b>go [5]</b> 5/14 19/10 26/11
•	•	1	1	

### G

**go... [2]** 31/16 53/16 goes [1] 45/24 going [13] 14/12 18/24 22/15 27/2 32/23 36/6 36/9 36/10 36/25 37/9 37/24 56/5 59/1

good [16] 3/9 3/11 3/15 3/16 3/17 14/4 18/20 18/21 31/25 32/20 34/1 34/11 35/7 41/21 50/4 51/3

got [8] 24/15 24/23 27/5 29/18 31/8 35/13 35/23 38/2

government [37] 6/15 8/8 8/18 10/6 10/23 11/5 11/15 11/20 12/3 12/22 13/19 13/22 13/24 16/17 38/13 39/8 39/19 40/12 40/16 40/23 41/8 41/24 42/12 42/16 43/20 43/23 47/1 47/18 50/23 51/2 51/17 51/20 52/7 53/6 53/7 61/4 62/19

government's [7] 13/25 15/9 40/21 49/1 51/21 51/22 52/19 grabbing [1] 28/24 grand [1] 38/16 Granted [1] 61/6 great [3] 14/23 33/17

33/19 greater [2] 53/25 55/3 greatest [1] 49/15 grew [2] 25/23 29/2 gross [1] 55/2 grounds [2] 49/2 60/3 Group [1] 19/22 groups [1] 30/18 guaranteeing [1] 21/21 guess [3] 27/3 31/19

guideline [11] 5/25 8/19 12/25 13/21 15/13 39/21 41/12 43/16 46/10 50/20 51/24 guidelines [35] 5/11 5/21 7/18 7/25 8/9 9/10 9/12 9/16 9/18 10/7 12/2 12/11 12/13 13/24 14/14 14/24 16/2 16/4 17/10 17/19 17/25 18/5 40/19 40/24 41/5 43/10 43/11 43/14 43/21 48/17 48/20 50/9 50/18

51/18 52/18 guilty [5] 8/12 31/3 39/11 61/22 61/24 gunpoint [1] 14/18

34/1

had [41] 4/1 6/5 7/10

14/17 15/14 20/12 20/14 20/18 20/22 20/23 22/6 22/20 23/23 25/2 25/3 25/18 25/19 26/19 27/3 29/22 30/8 30/21 31/15 32/9 32/10 34/8 35/14 35/16 35/24 36/2 36/2 36/3 36/17 36/18 36/22 37/2 37/6 39/15 40/8 45/4 47/16 half [2] 23/2 31/8 hallway [1] 9/25 Hammet [1] 24/9

hand [2] 26/6 26/8 handle [1] 25/18 hands [2] 19/17 33/9 **hanging [1]** 16/10 happened [4] 26/10 26/10 26/20 48/7 happy [1] 6/20

harbor [1] 43/5 hard [1] 32/24 hardship [19] 5/13 5/20 6/18 7/4 7/6 10/14 11/3 11/13 16/16 16/19 17/24 18/6 25/11 42/11 42/16 43/2 49/1 49/9 53/19

harm [11] 5/17 6/23 18/2 23/24 24/25 36/23 48/2 48/4 48/6 48/7 48/11

harshest [1] 9/16 has [45] 6/21 8/1 8/21 9/17 9/21 9/23 10/18 10/25 11/25 12/16 13/24 14/1 14/7 14/22 14/25 15/18 15/20 17/9 18/9 23/16 23/18 23/24 26/2 27/15 28/2 31/8 33/12 34/13 37/18 39/11 40/20 42/16 44/20 44/22 45/1 48/19 50/15 51/12 51/20 55/17 55/19 57/25 58/9 58/18 60/9

hasn't [2] 8/22 9/1 have [48] 3/23 4/1 4/3 4/6 5/18 5/19 5/24 11/19 14/19 14/19 14/23 14/25 15/14 15/19 17/22 17/24 18/1 20/6 20/24 23/11 23/23 27/7 27/8 31/10 33/4 34/9 34/9 40/9 42/6 43/3 43/20 45/5 45/20 46/8 47/4 47/14 48/12 48/14 52/20 53/1 55/11 61/2 61/20 61/21 61/25 62/3 62/10 62/23 haven't [1] 7/9

46/5 Hayca [2] 19/18 19/21

having [3] 6/17 15/21

he [126] he'll [3] 27/9 32/3 33/12

he's [12] 8/17 8/23 14/10 26/3 32/3 34/10 34/10 34/14 35/7 35/7 55/17 59/18

head [1] 16/11 hear [3] 14/5 35/8 37/17

heard [2] 32/7 33/6 hearing [3] 1/19 15/21 34/22

heart [3] 8/22 9/3 31/6 heartbreaking [1] 47/22

held [2] 20/24 64/6 Hello [1] 28/12 help [1] 47/3 helped [1] 37/17 her [4] 31/5 34/24 36/23 36/23

here [24] 5/11 6/10 8/9 9/10 12/9 12/15 13/21 14/17 14/23 15/2 16/2 17/7 18/1 18/7 18/14 19/7 27/18 34/19 39/5 40/10 41/12 42/13 48/7

hereby [1] 64/3 **Hey [1]** 35/19 **Hi [2]** 32/8 34/18 high [3] 9/11 48/23 49/6

highly [1] 14/12 him [43] 4/4 24/14 24/15 25/5 25/19 25/20 26/15 26/23 26/23 27/2 27/4 27/21 27/22 29/18 30/17 32/1 32/10 33/8 33/14 33/14 33/18 33/18 33/20 33/24 34/1 35/12 35/12 36/7 36/13 39/9 45/11 46/2 46/16 46/25 47/23 47/24 47/24 47/25 50/7 56/6 59/17 59/19 59/19 himself [4] 25/18 41/19

47/6 51/12 his [58] 4/6 7/23 9/2 9/3 10/25 10/25 11/1 12/1 14/9 16/11 16/12 19/17 19/24 20/20 20/21 20/23 23/3 23/7 24/14 25/20 26/3 26/15 | I'd [2] 19/16 35/21 28/19 31/6 31/17 32/11 **I'II [5]** 5/14 10/21 36/8 32/11 34/14 34/24 35/9 35/25 36/12 36/22

36/24 37/25 39/12 39/12 39/17 41/17 41/19 41/20 41/23 41/25 44/21 45/4 45/16 45/19 47/2 47/6 47/6

27/22 33/13 34/3 34/4 47/9 47/16 47/22 47/25

52/6 56/5 59/25 60/10 history [19] 11/22 11/25 12/13 15/25 40/13 40/14 41/4 41/9 41/10 41/23 42/7 43/8 43/16 44/4 45/8 50/22 51/19 52/16 53/11 hit [1] 14/21 Holding [2] 19/22 21/4 holidays [1] 29/7 home [2] 22/22 22/23 homeless [1] 30/6 homeowners [1] 6/5 homes [2] 6/8 47/13 honest [1] 41/18 honestly [1] 44/23 Honor [45] 3/9 3/11 4/3 illicit [1] 57/16 4/14 4/16 4/19 4/22 4/24 5/3 5/6 5/10 5/14 5/17 8/4 9/9 10/5 10/8 10/13 11/18 13/8 15/4 24/2 24/6 26/25 28/7 28/12 31/19 32/5 32/8

15/8 16/17 18/13 18/20 43/22 53/6 53/14 53/17 55/21 56/1 56/7 61/3 61/8 62/16 63/8 63/9 HONORABLE [1] 1/4 hope [3] 27/24 27/25

horse [2] 29/12 35/3 hour [1] 36/11 hours [3] 29/1 35/2 38/23

28/1

house [4] 30/18 33/23 33/25 59/22

how [22] 4/9 13/24 25/17 25/25 26/22 26/22 27/7 27/7 27/22 30/3 30/5 30/6 30/8 31/4 31/21 31/22 32/14 32/18 33/14 36/13 36/21 37/22

however [7] 5/19 6/10 7/13 14/3 40/23 52/5 59/18

hundred [4] 21/25 36/22 46/6 49/11 hundreds [1] 19/14 hung [1] 28/22 hurt [1] 34/14 hurts [1] 25/6

53/7 56/10 l'm [16] 3/13 6/20 7/14 8/1 18/9 18/24 26/11 32/8 34/5 34/18 35/20 43/12 44/1 44/9 51/15 59/1 l've [7] 3/20 8/15 26/4

**I.C** [1] 33/7 identified [1] 10/20 identity [1] 28/9 **if [40]** 5/14 6/21 9/7 9/23 10/7 10/20 12/25 17/18 18/14 18/15 18/17 18/25 29/16 29/17 32/2 33/12 34/4 34/8 39/23 40/7 45/4 48/25 52/19 53/9 54/19 54/25 56/2 56/5 57/25 58/9 59/18 61/19 61/21 61/23 62/1 62/6 62/17 62/20 62/23 62/24 **II [4]** 42/8 43/16 50/22 53/11 **immediate** [1] 55/8

impact [11] 3/24 10/24 11/4 11/7 11/9 11/16 15/14 17/8 17/10 26/17 26/18

immediately [2] 45/6

54/5

impacted [1] 42/19 impede [1] 13/2 impeded [2] 13/1 39/24 Imperial [1] 23/1 implore [1] 31/24 **important [6]** 9/23 16/22 30/12 36/3 36/12 36/21

importantly [2] 30/8 52/8

impose [5] 8/19 40/11 40/22 40/24 52/24 imposed [4] 53/2 53/4

53/15 53/19 **impressed** [1] 34/23 imprisonment [3] 54/7 54/22 56/22

inability [1] 16/12 incarcerated [1] 9/14 incarceration [1] 16/15 include [4] 49/13 57/24 60/13 63/6

included [6] 10/21 10/22 19/23 22/3 40/9 61/10

includes [3] 13/12 57/14 61/15 including [7] 17/14

19/13 21/7 48/15 49/19 50/2 57/4

income [3] 39/16 55/2 59/13

incorrectly [1] 42/10 increase [2] 22/24 43/7 increased [1] 40/6 increasingly [1] 9/4 indeed [2] 49/11 59/17 indicated [1] 50/20 indication [1] 41/21 **Indictment [6]** 7/16

{DEFENDANT}	
Indictment [5] 13/14	4
56/15 56/18 56/25 61/	5
individual [7] 5/23 6/2	
7/7 7/12 13/11 17/5	
47/16	
individualized [1]	
43/25	
individuals [4] 11/12 14/19 47/11 47/23	
industry [1] 29/20	
inferences [1] 10/16	
information [6] 17/22	
21/2 21/7 42/18 43/4	
45/19	
inherent [1] 52/11	
inheritance [1] 59/13	
initial [1] 43/12	^
initials [4] 18/17 18/28 24/8 28/12	_
inkling [1] 15/19	
Inmate [2] 54/8 54/23	
insolvent [1] 7/10	
instance [2] 12/16	
55/22	
instant [10] 12/5 13/3	
13/7 13/8 13/16 15/15	
36/5 39/20 40/1 40/25	
instead [1] 12/3 instrument [1] 21/5	
insurance [2] 31/6	
46/25	
integrity [1] 51/4	
intended [1] 49/5	
intention [1] 44/23	
interest [6] 20/19	_
20/22 23/2 55/10 55/1	2
61/3	
interested [1] 29/16 interpretive [1] 18/5	
intimate [1] 30/2	
intimately [1] 29/5	
introduced [1] 19/20	
invest [5] 19/22 35/5	
35/25 42/23 47/17	
invested [1] 32/19	
investigation [4] 13/3 15/14 15/20 40/1	i
investment [6] 22/16	
22/19 29/16 29/24	
35/18 58/22	
investments [1] 7/1	
investor [2] 20/16	
46/25	
investors [7] 6/11	
21/18 39/10 46/19	
46/20 47/9 49/11 involuntary [1] 61/23	
involved [2] 31/3 36/1	
involving [2] 58/21	•
58/23	
irreparable [1] 48/11	
is [155]	

isn't [2] 12/9 13/6 issue [4] 16/25 20/11 40/21 41/12 **issued [1]** 15/10 issues [2] 47/3 62/5 it [67] it's [21] 12/11 13/7 13/9 13/16 14/11 16/14 25/16 26/7 26/9 26/16 26/24 27/5 27/25 31/12 32/24 33/2 34/5 38/3 44/17 49/2 56/13 its [12] 8/9 8/18 11/6 11/20 19/14 23/6 23/11 42/16 49/19 51/20 51/21 53/8 itself [2] 7/6 18/6 jail [6] 20/15 27/2 38/23 39/9 45/14 46/16 **JAMES [2]** 2/7 3/12 James Threatt [1] 3/12 January [1] 21/23 January 2019 [1] 21/23 Jessica [12] 28/20 29/3 29/5 29/13 30/2 30/5 30/18 30/23 31/4 31/8 31/21 34/24

jewelry [1] 31/5 job [3] 28/1 37/1 49/18 joined [2] 3/13 20/16 **JOSEPH [3]** 1/9 3/7 56/14 journey [1] 30/4 **JPV [1]** 43/1 judge [4] 1/4 45/25 46/2 46/7 judgment [4] 56/13 61/11 62/14 63/2 judgment's [1] 58/13 judgments [1] 59/14 Judicial [1] 64/8 just [30] 10/15 14/20 15/8 16/16 17/15 18/7 18/17 19/8 25/6 25/13 26/5 28/7 28/24 30/19 31/24 33/18 34/9 34/10 34/11 34/12 34/13 36/9 36/17 36/17 36/18 38/4 44/6 50/20 52/2 53/17 justice [9] 12/17 12/22 13/2 38/14 39/25 41/1 46/3 52/20 61/4 justifies [1] 50/12 justify [5] 6/16 16/15 17/9 48/22 50/3

keep [3] 18/17 30/20

45/11 kept [2] 31/17 39/9 kids [1] 29/2 kind [4] 6/11 7/3 9/7

36/5 kinds [2] 44/9 44/10 knew [11] 29/5 30/2 30/5 30/8 30/11 33/20 36/16 36/24 37/8 47/23 47/24 know [33] 9/9 17/2 25/15 25/18 26/11 26/12 26/22 27/10 27/11 27/18 27/24 29/18 31/19 31/21 31/21 31/22 32/18 32/22 34/4 34/25 35/12 35/19 35/21 35/22 37/13 37/14 37/15 37/15 37/22 38/1 38/4 38/5 53/3 knowing [1] 27/3 knowledge [2] 20/7 30/13 knowledgeable [1] 46/21 known [3] 32/9 33/8 33/14 knows [4] 13/19 32/4 36/25 53/6 lack [1] 6/15

lacking [1] 51/3 Lamborghini [1] 34/24 language [2] 12/25 16/17 large [4] 5/24 16/8 19/12 21/22 larger [2] 29/24 30/1

largest [1] 7/9 last [4] 8/23 32/11 32/12 35/14 later [4] 20/2 20/21 36/11 39/13 laughable [1] 30/16

lavish [1] 42/21

law [6] 5/25 44/6 45/24 46/17 60/2 62/2 law-abiding [1] 46/17 lawful [1] 39/16 lawyer [2] 20/3 20/7 **lawyers [3]** 19/7 23/13

37/10 learned [2] 20/13 35/21 listened [1] 36/17 learning [1] 19/21 least [14] 8/20 10/19 11/12 17/23 18/15 39/14 43/1 44/25 45/2 47/14 49/10 51/16 55/2 57/9

leave [1] 62/21 lectern [3] 3/19 5/14 10/10

legal [12] 5/10 5/20 8/2 18/3 20/2 20/10 20/11 20/12 20/14 21/6 35/18 53/1

legitimate [2] 31/14 35/10 lending [1] 23/11 less [3] 54/7 54/22 55/3 lesser [2] 49/25 51/2 let [2] 15/5 32/3 letter [2] 3/25 44/25 letters [5] 3/24 3/24 6/21 14/6 47/21 level [23] 7/23 8/6 13/4 16/6 34/2 34/10 34/12 38/14 40/5 42/10 43/15 46/11 49/13 49/21 49/22 50/10 51/25 51/25 52/17 52/21 52/22 52/23 53/10 levels [5] 13/5 40/6 46/9 48/25 52/16 liability [1] 20/13 lie [1] 30/25 life [15] 6/19 11/1 11/8 11/10 17/12 23/15 24/15 25/8 30/23 31/5 32/12 36/13 36/22 42/23 47/12 lifestyle [3] 33/15 42/21 47/7 light [2] 6/2 9/16 like [24] 5/4 5/6 6/25 10/3 13/10 14/18 19/16 23/15 24/5 27/1 27/4 32/7 33/1 33/6 34/7 34/17 35/2 35/9 36/1 36/20 37/4 38/10 53/14 55/24 likelihood [2] 40/15 41/11

likely [3] 27/1 41/22

55/18 limit [2] 22/16 40/24 limitations [1] 24/20 limited [1] 23/25 line [3] 22/22 22/24 22/25

lines [1] 13/12 **Lisa [1]** 34/19 list [3] 42/14 54/12 54/16 listed [2] 19/19 42/25

listing [1] 23/12 lists [1] 59/4 lit [1] 36/12 literally [1] 26/21 little [2] 30/25 51/3 live [2] 23/23 33/22 lived [1] 28/17 lives [8] 14/7 19/13 30/9 30/12 31/23 36/4 38/1 52/10

living [4] 31/1 33/15 38/1 42/21 loan [12] 6/6 21/8 21/8

21/19 21/22 22/15 22/15 22/17 23/3 23/20 29/17 58/21 loaned [3] 21/11 23/5 23/6 loans [2] 20/4 29/25 long [2] 37/19 51/18 longer [2] 16/15 20/22 look [1] 17/1 looked [2] 11/2 26/4 looking [2] 9/24 9/25 **Los [6]** 1/16 1/23 2/5 2/8 2/12 20/17 lose [2] 7/1 48/8 loss [10] 5/22 7/21 16/4 19/17 44/19 49/2 49/5 49/5 49/6 49/7 lost [11] 5/24 6/1 6/7 6/22 7/17 11/8 17/12 20/14 25/6 30/5 32/21 **lot [3]** 27/15 27/16 33/17 lotteries [1] 59/17 **lottery [1]** 59/13 love [4] 29/2 33/22

33/23 37/25 loved [2] 29/3 36/14 low [8] 8/19 9/11 9/18 13/20 13/20 14/1 51/17 51/23

low-end [5] 8/19 9/11 9/18 51/17 51/23 lower [2] 50/12 52/13 lunch [1] 28/24 lying [2] 37/8 37/9

made [8] 5/7 16/21

55/3 61/16

20/17 21/8 23/3 26/18

magnitude [1] 7/18 main [3] 5/10 6/3 7/5 maintain [1] 23/11 maintains [1] 53/8 majority [1] 6/7 make [15] 6/1 15/3 16/12 16/18 19/8 21/7 22/17 23/19 25/24 25/24 27/1 34/11 53/1 56/10 63/1 makes [2] 26/17 54/19 making [6] 21/22 21/23 39/17 41/18 43/25 56/2 malevolence [2] 36/6 36/21 malevolent [1] 37/12 Mammoth [1] 29/9 managed [1] 35/24 management [1] 58/18

manipulated [1] 30/13

manipulative [1] 33/2

manner [1] 60/8

22/3

manufacturing [1]

### M

many [12] 6/21 7/4 7/8 14/23 19/13 25/11 27/3 29/1 29/6 35/2 48/6 49/8 March [1] 22/5 March 2019 [1] 22/5 marijuana [1] 31/14 mark [1] 36/16 marriage [1] 23/18

Marshal [2] 50/14 61/1 MARTIN [1] 2/2 massive [1] 31/7 material [3] 43/7 45/23 46/3

materially [1] 45/19 materials [1] 61/17 matter [7] 14/11 20/10 20/13 28/13 35/9 52/9 64/7

mattress [2] 25/22 25/25

**maximum [3]** 31/25 50/3 52/1

may [17] 6/17 10/10 15/6 20/24 35/14 40/18 41/6 42/6 45/20 48/10 55/12 57/21 57/24 60/3 60/5 60/13 62/21

Maybe [1] 25/16 me [27] 12/7 18/23 21/18 22/12 23/10 23/19 24/6 24/14 24/14 24/19 24/22 24/25 25/6 25/9 25/14 25/25 26/9 26/19 27/14 29/22 29/25 33/16 33/19 34/5 36/5 36/12 53/14

mean [3] 34/5 35/7 43/3

meaning [1] 49/9 means [3] 46/18 46/23 46/24

meant [1] 42/21 media [6] 25/19 30/19 38/3 47/8 59/24 59/24 medical [7] 8/24 9/8 47/3 50/11 50/15 55/24

medications [1] 57/17 medicine [1] 32/18 meet [1] 42/20 member [1] 47/3 menacingly [1] 37/10 mentioned [3] 10/25

17/12 26/3 mercy [1] 32/25 mere [1] 47/20 Meredith [4] 28/16 28/19 30/3 30/8 merely [1] 11/16 met [9] 11/17 24/12 28/19 30/3 34/4 34/21 40/11 42/13 42/16
mid [1] 52/24
mid-range [1] 52/24
middle [1] 25/23
midrange [1] 52/18
midst [1] 39/6
might [2] 10/7 36/11
million [15] 21/4 21/9
21/10 21/19 22/9 22/14
22/15 22/19 22/21
22/21 23/5 25/9 26/13
31/9 47/15
million-dollar [1] 31/9

million-dollar [1] 31/9 millions [1] 25/18 mind [1] 6/14 misconduct [2] 15/17 16/3

missed [1] 23/5 misspoke [1] 53/13 mitigation [2] 5/5 14/8 modification [1] 6/6 moment [1] 36/16 Monday [2] 1/17 3/1 money [23] 5/24 7/9 23/11 25/1 25/14 25/17 26/1 26/3 26/10 26/10 26/17 26/24 27/25 31/13 34/6 34/11 35/6 35/20 36/7 42/21 47/12 52/8 59/17

monies [1] 59/12 month [2] 32/11 57/11 monthly [2] 55/1 55/2 months [14] 8/7 8/23 9/19 26/21 32/12 43/17 43/23 52/1 52/18 52/24 53/8 53/12 56/16 56/17 morally [1] 30/20 more [22] 4/17 5/13 5/23 7/22 10/14 16/20 16/25 16/25 25/1 25/11 25/14 26/17 26/24 27/11 27/25 30/7 33/8 42/4 42/11 44/23 46/15 52/8

**morning [8]** 3/9 3/11 3/15 3/16 3/17 18/20 18/21 18/23

mortgaging [1] 47/13 most [13] 6/17 8/15 23/6 25/21 27/15 30/12 36/3 36/12 36/14 36/21 37/20 37/20 38/19 motion [1] 11/21

motion [1] 11/21 move [5] 3/18 8/2 8/3 29/20 52/9

moves [1] 61/4 moving [1] 11/20 Mr [1] 4/9 Mr. [26] 4/1 4/12 5/1 6/19 7/20 8/12 8/21 9/6

6/19 //20 8/12 8/21 9/6 9/17 10/3 10/15 11/11 11/23 13/6 14/14 15/19 16/9 17/10 17/11 18/12 24/22 25/4 29/3 45/2 45/8 55/20

**Mr. Bunevacz [12]**4/12 6/19 7/20 8/12
8/21 9/6 9/17 10/3 16/9
24/22 25/4 45/2

58/10 63/8 63/9 64 **No. [1]** 3/6 **nobody [1]** 36/25 **nominal [2]** 55/1

Mr. Bunevacz's [3] 15/19 17/10 45/8

**Mr. Dave [1]** 29/3 **Mr. Schwab [3]** 17/11 18/12 55/20

Mr. Threatt [6] 4/1 5/1 | North [1 10/15 11/23 13/6 14/14 | not [85] | Mr. Threatt's [1] 11/11 | note [10]

Ms. [1] 29/3 Ms. Jessica [1] 29/3 much [9] 14/16 14/18 25/1 25/14 26/16 26/24 37/24 51/21 63/8

multiple [1] 35/3 must [8] 6/1 7/6 15/14 18/6 50/19 62/13 63/1 63/2

my [72] myself [2] 19/13 25/7

### N

name [5] 4/9 22/23 28/13 28/15 34/18 named [1] 28/8 naming [1] 43/2 narcotic [2] 57/23 60/20

narrow [1] 5/20 nature [5] 14/15 17/7 44/3 45/9 46/13 near [1] 44/19 nearly [2] 45/14 48/13

nearly [2] 45/14 48/13 necessarily [3] 46/8 49/22 49/24 necessary [4] 46/23

53/25 59/2 63/5 need [12] 4/17 9/23 12/14 22/14 35/20 35/20 36/7 44/4 44/12 44/15 47/2 49/6

needed [6] 22/8 22/23 22/24 23/25 26/23 35/18

needs [1] 9/8 negatively [1] 23/24 never [6] 9/13 24/23 34/3 35/13 36/18 38/1 New [2] 29/7 29/12 New York [1] 29/12

New York [1] 29/12 next [3] 28/21 30/12 40/12

nine [1] 25/3 Ninth [3] 6/3 6/8 40/20 no [31] 1/8 4/19 5/3 10/5 15/18 15/18 16/1 18/18 20/22 26/2 31/25 31/25 32/4 33/1 33/13 34/13 36/8 38/11 39/13

39/15 49/16 52/5 53/7
53/25 55/21 55/21 58/9
58/10 63/8 63/9 64/16
No. [1] 3/6
nobody [1] 36/25
nominal [2] 55/1 55/6
non [1] 22/2
non-sale [1] 22/2
none [2] 48/3 59/20
nonexclusive [1] 42/14
nonviolent [1] 9/13
North [1] 2/4
not [85]
note [10] 8/5 8/8 10/21
14/3 16/7 28/7 42/13

14/3 16/7 28/7 42/13 53/7 53/15 53/16 noted [3] 16/17 17/11

51/6 **notes [3]** 26/7 39/15 42/12

**nothing [2]** 14/25 61/7 **Notice [3]** 62/13 62/25 63/2

**November [3]** 1/17 3/1 21/9

now [10] 13/6 15/22 23/9 30/16 37/1 50/22 52/25 53/4 53/15 53/16 number [10] 5/22 7/17 7/21 10/17 17/1 18/14 44/17 49/3 49/14 50/19 numerous [4] 3/23

14/6 29/1 29/8

objection [3] 5/7 8/2 53/18

objections [4] 3/22 15/9 53/1 53/15 obligation [2] 51/20 59/15

**obligations [2]** 20/23 42/20

obstruct [1] 13/1 obstructed [4] 13/1 15/20 39/24 46/3 obstruction [7] 11/19 12/21 13/18 15/12 38/14 52/19 52/21

obstructive [2] 15/13 40/3 obtain [1] 44/18

obtained [3] 39/9 45/10 46/1 obvious [1] 20/6 obviously [3] 14/22 17/20 45/12

occasions [1] 29/9 occupants [1] 60/4 occur [1] 49/6 occurred [2] 12/9 42/6

off [2] 22/25 53/7 35/4 offender [2] 9/13 12/4 offense [33] 7/23 11/14 or [75]

12/5 12/5 12/24 13/4 13/4 13/7 13/8 13/11 13/16 14/16 15/15 16/6 39/20 40/2 40/4 40/5 40/5 40/25 41/2 41/15 42/2 43/15 44/3 44/5 44/11 44/16 45/9 46/13 49/13 49/21 53/10 offenses [1] 44/14 offer [1] 6/24 offered [3] 20/3 20/5 35/4

office [6] 54/13 54/17 57/3 57/23 60/18 61/12 officer [18] 39/4 39/15 41/3 50/21 57/11 57/15 57/20 58/3 58/4 58/9 58/25 59/3 59/7 59/11 60/1 60/2 60/14 60/16 officer's [2] 45/18 48/25

officers [1] 51/11 Official [2] 1/21 64/16 often [1] 48/12 oh [4] 33/24 35/20 36/7 37/6

oil [3] 21/16 21/16 22/7 okay [7] 5/14 18/25 19/4 24/4 37/5 37/7 56/10

Oliver [1] 28/16 Olympic [1] 20/10 omissions [1] 45/23 on [82]

Once [2] 39/2 50/12 one [32] 7/12 8/6 9/2 11/2 11/16 14/15 17/21 18/15 18/16 18/18 19/14 20/15 21/9 25/13 26/2 27/19 32/14 34/4 36/14 39/2 40/13 43/17 45/14 46/6 46/25 47/14 47/15 49/11 50/3 50/19

57/8 62/18 one-level [1] 8/6 one-third [1] 32/14 ones [1] 17/14 ongoing [1] 39/7 only [9] 6/24 31/20 34/23 37/12 41/20 47/8 49/15 50/8 50/18 oOo [2] 3/2 63/14

oOo [2] 3/2 63/14 open [1] 22/25 operate [1] 6/6 operating [1] 23/12 operation [1] 59/5 opinion [3] 20/3 20/7 21/6

opportunities [1] 23/6 opportunity [6] 19/22 32/3 33/13 33/19 34/8 35/4

opposite [1] 45/7 or [75]

# {DEFENDANT} O ordeal [1] 24/18 order [11] 3/4 16/8 17/8 20/8 22/18 55/16 55/19 57/4 57/6 61/11 63/3 ordered [8] 39/1 54/3 54/10 55/6 55/9 55/10 58/6 59/15 orders [7] 21/12 21/15 21/19 21/25 22/1 23/4 58/13 ordinary [1] 12/4 organize [1] 30/18 **ORTEGA [1]** 2/6 other [27] 5/1 20/16 21/7 21/18 23/6 23/13 26/2 29/8 31/12 32/4 37/8 40/15 41/11 41/22 42/4 45/2 49/22 52/22 58/23 59/5 59/14 59/23 59/25 60/4 61/16 61/23 others [8] 17/14 27/23 30/21 34/8 43/3 47/23 47/24 48/9 otherwise [4] 9/25 12/9 patch [1] 57/14 44/23 58/21 ought [1] 13/25 our [28] 8/5 8/8 8/24 9/10 16/8 22/21 22/22 22/23 22/24 22/25 30/3 30/3 30/9 30/11 30/11 30/12 30/12 30/15 30/23 30/24

26/24 28/25 29/5 29/15 35/20 36/4 53/15 53/18 out [22] 9/24 13/6 20/5 20/22 22/13 23/5 24/24 25/10 26/9 28/22 28/23 32/1 32/3 32/13 35/20 36/11 39/9 41/24 45/11 47/1 50/18 51/2 outlines [1] 11/16 outlining [1] 14/6 outpatient [1] 57/13 outrageous [1] 25/21 over [12] 7/24 16/11 23/15 25/3 25/4 25/4 26/13 28/21 37/19 37/22 47/16 49/11 overcome [1] 30/8 overwhelming [1] 50/8 owed [2] 23/1 23/10 own [2] 3/25 42/20 owned [2] 32/14 59/6 owner [1] 58/20

page [1] 64/7 paid [4] 35/13 38/2 39/3 39/8 pain [2] 14/20 27/16 **Palm [1]** 29/9

Palm Springs [1] 29/9 papers [7] 3/22 8/6 8/8 8/18 9/10 16/8 59/22 paperwork [1] 35/17 parents [2] 33/22 33/25 part [11] 13/16 20/20 26/18 27/15 38/19

39/10 39/20 41/2 45/10 58/5 59/6 partial [2] 54/19 58/20

participate [2] 57/12 60/12

particular [2] 6/2 7/6 particularly [4] 8/8 53/18 53/21 62/1 parties [4] 3/22 25/20 39/4 49/15

partner [3] 32/9 32/20 33/7

Paseo [1] 28/20 pass [1] 30/7 past [5] 11/5 23/16 30/3 30/3 44/21 **PAT [3]** 1/21 64/3 64/16

patcuneo1600 [1] 1/24 patient [1] 33/8 pattern [2] 26/13 26/14 patterns [1] 34/22 Pauperis [1] 62/22 Pause [2] 5/16 10/12 pay [16] 14/13 22/11 23/12 27/5 36/8 39/1 45/21 54/3 54/10 55/11

58/12 62/20 payee [1] 54/19 payment [7] 54/19 55/8 58/8 58/8 58/10 58/14 58/25

55/18 55/18 58/5 58/10

payments [6] 16/13 39/18 54/20 55/1 55/6 55/12

paystubs [1] 59/9 pen [5] 20/8 21/19 22/6 22/13 23/4

penalties [1] 55/12 pending [1] 41/14 pens [7] 21/12 21/16 21/24 22/1 22/3 22/9 22/11

people [20] 6/12 14/17 24/19 26/14 27/5 27/12 27/20 28/3 30/20 31/23 33/17 36/14 37/5 37/10 37/19 37/22 42/23 44/22 51/3 51/13

people's [1] 14/7 per [4] 28/22 54/7 54/22 57/10

percent [3] 21/25 46/6 55/2

performed [1] 46/20 perhaps [1] 8/14 **period [9]** 13/12 37/19 54/6 54/21 55/4 56/16 57/18 58/7 58/11 periodic [1] 57/10 permanently [1] 39/3 person [7] 32/20 33/3 34/4 36/3 36/12 36/22 59/22

personal [5] 6/25 19/16 23/2 23/20 38/16 personalities [1] 38/6 personally [2] 19/3 22/18

persuaded [2] 45/2 45/17

**persuasive** [1] 36/1 pertaining [2] 58/14 59/5

Philippines [1] 42/6 phone [3] 9/24 9/25 37/4

phones [1] 10/1 physical [2] 48/4 48/10 piece [1] 27/14 pilates [1] 28/24 pillaged [1] 26/14 place [2] 35/23 57/21 placed [1] 56/23 places [1] 29/6 **Plaintiff [2]** 1/7 2/2 **play [1]** 26/6

**played [2]** 36/18 36/19 playing [1] 26/8 plea [11] 8/12 8/15 38/19 38/20 39/12 50/9 61/22 61/24 62/3 62/6

62/11 pleaded [1] 39/11 please [5] 3/3 3/8 9/25 38/6 38/6

plus [2] 12/4 23/2 point [5] 6/3 16/17 16/22 43/11 52/13 points [10] 5/12 5/23 7/23 12/4 13/6 17/9 41/24 47/1 50/18 51/2 **policy [2]** 31/6 48/19 Ponzi [2] 29/23 31/11 pool [1] 29/23 portion [2] 37/11 63/4

portrayed [1] 24/22 posed [1] 19/24 position [9] 3/22 8/9 8/25 10/23 11/6 20/24 49/19 52/19 59/9 possibility [2] 35/10 37/14

possibly [2] 36/22 37/24 **post [1]** 30/19

postponing [2] 17/20 17/21

posts [1] 38/4 potential [1] 17/16 potentially [1] 9/7 powerful [2] 37/10 37/10

practically [1] 28/23 practice [2] 33/17 33/18

practiced [1] 37/19 practicing [1] 34/6 prayed [1] 24/14 **prayer [1]** 30/18 praying [1] 30/17 precisely [1] 51/10 precluded [1] 12/10 predators [1] 48/4 prefer [2] 3/19 56/8 preference [1] 56/4 **premises** [1] 60/5

prepare [1] 62/24 prepared [4] 8/1 9/20 18/9 54/12

prescription [1] 57/17 present [4] 3/12 5/5 34/25 62/7

presentence [8] 3/21 5/1 5/7 42/9 42/18 60/18 60/22 61/14 president [1] 19/18 PRESIDING [1] 1/4 presold [1] 21/20 pretending [1] 30/14 pretrial [5] 12/6 52/11 57/3 57/23 60/17 pretty [1] 29/18 previously [1] 48/21

preys [1] 26/15 prime [1] 51/7 princess [1] 29/4 princesses [1] 38/3 prior [5] 11/25 28/8

preyed [2] 30/13 47/11

41/4 45/19 58/25 prison [2] 12/8 45/11 Prisons [6] 50/14 50/15 56/15 56/20 61/13 61/15

Prisons' [2] 54/8 54/23 privacy [1] 54/18 private [1] 25/20 probably [2] 44/25 52/12

probation [37] 12/6 12/7 15/11 15/22 15/25 20/15 38/23 39/4 39/15 psychological [1] 39/17 41/3 41/18 41/25 45/17 48/25 50/21 51/11 54/13 54/17 57/3 57/5 57/11 57/15 57/20 57/22 58/2 58/4 58/9 58/25 59/3 59/7 59/11 60/1 60/14 60/16 60/17

61/12 problem [2] 36/2 36/8 proceed [1] 21/8 **proceeding [1]** 20/14 proceedings [7] 5/16 10/12 52/12 61/24 63/5 63/12 64/6

**production** [1] 31/15 profit [2] 21/21 22/10 **profound [1]** 17/9 **profoundly [1]** 19/12 program [10] 6/6 54/9 54/24 56/21 57/13 57/22 58/1 58/2 60/12 60/15

programs [2] 58/22 58/22

prohibited [2] 40/18 60/23

promised [1] 44/20 promises [1] 42/19 promote [1] 44/6 pronounce [1] 4/9 proof [3] 42/12 42/17 58/8

proper [1] 43/5 property [2] 38/16 59/22

proportional [1] 54/20 proposition [1] 10/16 prosecution [4] 13/3 15/15 15/20 40/1 protect [6] 22/18 28/3

38/7 44/8 50/25 54/18 protecting [1] 37/23 proved [3] 20/2 21/17 51/12

proven [1] 20/9 provide [8] 20/21 44/6 44/15 47/6 52/5 58/8 59/3 59/9

provided [13] 6/14 6/19 7/3 7/8 17/19 20/11 21/2 21/15 43/3 45/19 61/11 61/15 62/18

provider [3] 60/15 60/19 60/23 provides [1] 42/14 **providing [2]** 20/7

29/16 **provision [3]** 15/13 16/2 38/24

**PSR [5]** 10/22 15/9 15/10 17/15 42/25 **PSR's [1]** 12/23

14/20 public [11] 2/6 19/19

21/3 21/11 23/11 23/13 23/22 24/1 31/16 44/8 51/1 punished [1] 7/20

**punishing [1]** 27/21 **punishment** [2] 16/10 44/6

punitive [2] 9/6 14/15 purchase [5] 20/7 21/12 21/15 22/1 23/4 purchased [1] 22/7 purportedly [1] 20/1 purpose [2] 47/2 47/6 purposes [3] 7/14 12/13 54/1 pursuant [8] 49/16

54/8 54/23 55/13 56/12 60/5 60/7 64/4 put [7] 9/25 24/21 25/8 26/19 27/14 34/14 56/6 Putting [1] 6/20

qualify [2] 6/17 17/13 quality [1] 38/5 quarter [2] 54/7 54/22 question [4] 5/20 17/19 18/3 33/13 questions [7] 6/21 8/1 9/21 11/19 14/25 18/9 19/24 quickly [1] 52/7 quite [8] 9/1 16/11 17/4 18/4 18/6 32/9 35/6 37/15

quote [2] 6/25 11/10

raise [1] 23/25 raised [1] 13/5 raising [1] 20/11 ran [1] 25/4 Ranch [1] 28/17 range [15] 13/21 16/4 17/10 43/16 43/17 43/21 44/10 48/22 48/23 50/9 51/18 52/3 52/18 52/24 53/11 rate [2] 54/7 54/22 rather [3] 13/7 13/10 50/14 **RDAP [1]** 56/21 re [1] 60/22 re-disclosure [1] 60/22 reach [1] 46/7 read [6] 3/20 3/23 4/15 4/17 18/24 59/16 ready [1] 22/11 realistically [1] 16/10 realize [1] 31/11 realized [5] 35/12 35/15 35/24 36/18 37/3 realizing [1] 37/8 really [12] 26/2 27/1 27/8 27/10 28/13 32/10 33/17 34/4 34/5 36/25 37/17 37/23 realm [1] 38/3 reason [6] 8/19 25/22 37/6 46/12 53/3 53/7

reasonable [8] 10/16 16/19 52/2 52/3 53/24 60/8 60/8 60/9 reasonably [1] 17/1 reasoning [2] 51/22 51/23 reasons [5] 8/7 50/20 52/2 52/23 61/10 recall [1] 28/11 receive [5] 9/7 12/4 38/14 39/21 54/20 received [4] 9/17 22/6 45/1 59/12 receiving [1] 21/24 recently [1] 33/19 recess [1] 63/11 recidivism [2] 12/14 51/9 recitation [1] 51/21 **recognize** [3] 18/13 48/14 52/12 recommend [1] 51/17 recommendation [9] 13/25 21/7 23/23 43/20 51/22 53/8 55/24 56/3 56/8 recommendations [1] 56/11 recommended [3] 7/25 21/3 50/22 recommending [2] 13/19 23/19 recommends [2] 43/23 56/19 record [3] 42/3 53/17 62/18 records [4] 8/24 44/14 59/4 59/5 recovery [2] 30/4 30/11

reduction [2] 31/25 50/8 refer [1] 33/16 referenced [1] 28/9 references [1] 13/13 referral [1] 35/11 referred [1] 47/24 **referring [1]** 19/7 reflect [2] 41/23 44/5 reflected [1] 8/14 reflects [1] 54/13 reform [3] 27/2 28/1 56/12 reformed [1] 27/10 reforms [1] 27/12 refrain [1] 57/7 refunds [1] 59/13 regarding [1] 11/19 regardless [2] 44/19 56/6 regrets [1] 45/2 regular [1] 59/9 regulations [2] 57/3

rehabilitate [1] 41/19

64/8

reiterating [1] 53/18 reject [1] 15/23 rejects [1] 15/11 related [6] 23/13 40/3 40/5 46/10 48/3 61/16 relationship [2] 23/21 30/14 relationships [1] 48/12 relative [1] 31/13 release [9] 12/8 43/17 51/8 55/1 55/4 56/22 56/23 57/5 57/9 released [1] 51/15 relevant [8] 12/20 12/23 13/7 13/15 15/12 16/1 39/5 40/4 reliance [1] 21/2 relied [1] 21/21 reloaded [1] 47/14 remain [4] 3/3 52/23 54/18 61/17 remaining [3] 6/22 7/8 61/4 remains [2] 22/25 54/25 remanded [1] 60/25 remember [1] 33/23 remorse [3] 33/1 33/2 45/4 rent [1] 23/12 repay [3] 41/19 44/21 44/23 **repeating [1]** 9/10 report [12] 3/21 5/1 5/8 42/9 42/18 43/6 43/9 60/18 60/22 61/14 61/16 61/20 reported [1] 64/6 reporter [3] 1/21 63/6 64/16 **REPORTER'S** [1] 1/14 represent [3] 18/24 19/1 19/7 representing [1] 19/25 reputation [1] 48/12 reputational [2] 6/23 23/24 request [3] 49/4 62/24 63/1

15/24 16/7 17/4 17/14 28/8 39/25 44/6 respects [2] 7/5 43/7 respond [1] 15/4 response [1] 38/11 responsibility [7] 8/13 14/10 50/6 52/6 52/7 54/9 54/24 rest [1] 37/23 restitution [19] 8/17 14/11 14/13 16/7 16/8 16/12 39/1 39/2 39/9 39/18 44/16 45/22 54/11 54/14 54/21 54/25 55/6 55/10 58/13 result [5] 6/7 9/5 11/13 49/25 52/17 resulting [1] 6/23 retained [1] 62/12 retirement [5] 10/25 17/16 17/21 42/22 47/13 returning [2] 35/17 47/15 reverted [1] 57/25 review [1] 4/1 reviewed [3] 20/1 20/10 21/6 revised [1] 43/20 revocation [1] 60/4 right [18] 3/18 5/9 15/22 15/22 18/16 19/6 25/3 33/11 38/12 53/23 56/7 61/21 61/25 62/4 62/6 62/10 62/12 63/10 ring [1] 31/9 risk [2] 12/14 51/14 road [2] 35/3 37/16 robbed [1] 14/18 robbery [1] 13/11 room [3] 1/23 31/12 32/2ruin [1] 6/13 ruining [1] 31/23 rules [1] 57/2 run [2] 25/2 56/25 ruse [1] 30/19 ruthless [1] 33/2 S.M [1] 11/8 required [4] 5/23 7/22 S.S [1] 18/22

sad [1] 14/11 said [13] 9/1 20/12 24/13 26/8 31/20 35/19 36/1 36/8 36/21 41/16 48/2 48/21 52/15 salaries [1] 23/12 sale [6] 21/25 22/2 22/8 38/15 38/17 38/20 sales [1] 22/13 same [7] 12/19 14/22 29/2 41/17 42/1 42/5 respect [13] 5/11 10/13 46/7

sample [2] 10/18 58/16 **Santa [2]** 28/18 29/10 Santa Barbara [1] 29/10 satisfy [1] 11/12 save [1] 47/4 saved [1] 52/7 savings [9] 6/19 11/1 11/9 11/10 17/12 30/24 31/13 42/24 47/12 saw [3] 25/21 27/17 29/19 say [7] 10/4 19/1 19/6 25/6 33/4 34/9 56/5 saying [2] 33/24 45/25 **scale [1]** 19/12 scam [3] 24/22 31/4 32/24 scenario [1] 32/14 **scheme** [12] 6/11 7/16 13/10 13/12 17/7 24/22 26/12 29/23 31/12 39/7 39/10 39/13 schemes [2] 36/24 37/24 schools [1] 42/23 **SCHWAB [5]** 2/3 3/10 17/11 18/12 55/20 scope [1] 8/14 **score [1]** 12/13 **search [3]** 60/1 60/3 60/7 searched [1] 60/11

**searches** [1] 60/5 seated [1] 3/3 second [6] 2/8 21/10 26/25 55/15 57/4 57/6 secondly [1] 53/8 seconds [1] 26/7 section [11] 39/22 39/23 41/13 42/14 44/2 46/11 54/2 54/17 55/13 57/6 64/4

Section 2B1.1 [1] 42/14 Section 3 [1] 57/6

Section 3553 [2] 44/2 54/2 Section 3C1.1 [2]

39/22 46/11 Section 4A1.3 [1] 41/13 **securities [3]** 12/19

38/16 38/21 **security [3]** 20/4 20/5 38/17

see [9] 14/15 14/16 18/15 25/15 27/25 27/25 31/2 35/16 38/5 seeing [1] 9/2 seem [1] 41/6 seemed [1] 35/9 seen [6] 7/9 8/15 8/22

9/1 14/5 15/19

12/21 13/2 15/12 15/18

requested [1] 55/19

requirement [3] 17/18

require [1] 17/7

requires [1] 7/4

reside [1] 58/1

research [1] 35/16

residence [1] 59/22

residential [2] 56/20

resolved [1] 52/9

52/15 58/10

40/10 41/7

57/21

{DEFENDANT}	
S	s
seized [1] 55/21 self [1] 59/8 self-employed [1] 59/8	S
<b>sense [3]</b> 16/21 25/24 36/5	S
<b>sentence [42]</b> 7/25 8/7 8/19 9/11 9/12 9/15 9/16 9/18 12/8 12/18	s
14/24 31/25 41/1 41/1 44/5 44/9 44/10 44/13 44/19 45/14 45/16	S
48/23 50/3 50/12 50/21 51/18 51/24 52/1 52/5 52/14 52/24 52/25 53/1	S
53/4 53/15 53/20 53/24 61/25 62/2 62/9 62/9 62/10	S
<b>sentenced [3]</b> 20/15 38/22 50/13	S
<b>sentences [2]</b> 50/1 51/2	S
sentencing [24] 1/19 3/20 7/24 8/9 8/24 10/23 11/6 13/3 15/15	S
15/21 16/14 27/9 40/1 41/15 43/10 44/10 44/21 46/2 46/4 46/10	S
49/19 56/12 61/12 61/18	S
<b>separate [1]</b> 54/12 <b>September [1]</b> 19/21	S
serious [1] 42/4 seriousness [6] 40/14	s
41/10 41/23 44/5 47/19	S
48/18 <b>served [2]</b> 47/8 56/18	s
<b>service [3]</b> 38/24 50/14 60/15	S
<b>Services [3]</b> 57/3 57/23 60/18	S
<b>serving [2]</b> 12/8 12/17 <b>session [1]</b> 3/5	S
set [6] 3/20 26/13 28/2 32/13 54/12 57/5	S
setting [1] 29/25 settled [2] 20/12 37/6	S
setup [1] 32/24	-
<b>severe [1]</b> 48/3 <b>severely [3]</b> 7/20 23/25 48/10	4
Sewell [1] 34/18 shaking [1] 33/9	4
<b>shall [35]</b> 54/3 54/6	()
54/10 54/16 54/17 54/20 54/21 55/3 55/4	2
55/15 56/22 57/2 57/7 57/8 57/12 57/16 58/1	į
58/5 58/8 58/10 58/12 58/15 58/17 58/20 59/3	S
58/15 58/17 58/20 59/3	,

59/8 59/12 59/21 60/4

60/12 61/10 61/11

61/14 61/17 61/19

shareholders [2] 19/15 23/22 she [3] 22/23 22/24 35/13 shell [1] 23/9 shipments [2] 22/4 22/6 shipping [1] 22/3 shoes [1] 31/8 short [3] 22/18 29/16 30/23 short-term [2] 22/18 29/16 should [13] 5/12 9/24 13/4 16/13 16/14 20/6 38/13 39/21 40/5 49/25 53/4 59/16 63/4 show [1] 29/14 shows [3] 29/12 33/1 35/3 siblings [1] 42/22 sign [1] 22/24 signatures [1] 46/22 significant [7] 7/3 7/6 18/7 20/19 23/24 45/16 51/14 similar [6] 31/20 39/6 41/12 41/17 44/14 44/14 similarly [2] 8/18 12/21 simply [3] 13/10 17/22 53/7 Since [1] 22/23 single [1] 35/25 single family [1] 35/25 sinking [1] 36/17 sir [5] 4/10 18/16 24/8 61/21 62/15 sit [2] 26/6 32/1 site [1] 20/17 sits [1] 23/9 situation [4] 16/1 17/5 33/1 33/21 slightly [2] 9/11 29/24 small [1] 29/24 so [44] 9/11 9/15 9/23 10/21 11/11 13/17 15/22 16/25 18/15 18/18 19/7 24/14 24/23 25/11 25/14 26/16 26/21 26/24 27/3 27/8 29/15 29/20 30/24 32/12 32/15 32/25 33/18 34/6 34/9 35/9 37/1 37/22 38/6 46/9 48/7 48/13 49/16 50/5 51/15 51/16 51/18 52/9 59/18 62/10 sobriety [1] 30/4 social [5] 25/19 30/19 38/3 47/8 59/24 socialized [1] 47/25

sociopathic [1] 34/3

solicitation [1] 58/23

**solicited [1]** 6/11 some [24] 7/2 8/24 9/2 16/11 16/17 22/2 25/22 27/2 29/17 29/20 31/16 38/18 42/17 47/21 32/9 32/22 35/4 40/7 47/2 47/8 47/22 47/24 48/14 50/2 61/23 62/1 somebody [1] 9/13 somehow [1] 61/22 **someone [8]** 14/18 24/21 25/23 27/1 27/4 32/25 34/3 59/18 something [4] 9/23 16/13 16/14 32/25 somewhat [1] 52/13 somewhere [1] 31/8 son [2] 26/20 28/16 sophisticated [4] 46/19 46/20 46/24 51/13 **Sorry [1]** 33/9 sort [2] 14/15 25/23 **source [1]** 45/21 **Southern [2]** 56/2 56/8 stood [2] 22/11 26/9 speak [6] 5/7 15/5 24/5 stop [1] 27/22 34/17 35/8 38/10 speaking [2] 30/16 33/10 special [4] 29/8 43/18 54/4 58/12 specific [5] 6/15 6/21 11/19 17/23 18/3 specifically [6] 8/7 9/19 11/8 16/23 17/2 specifics [2] 17/8 17/16 **specifies [1]** 40/16 spend [2] 25/25 35/1 **spending [1]** 59/17 spent [3] 25/22 28/25 35/2spin [1] 28/24 spiritually [1] 30/10 Spring [1] 2/4 **Springs** [1] 29/9 squarely [2] 41/4 41/6 **standard [1]** 17/13 **stands [3]** 10/15 13/22 18/18 starting [1] 43/11 state [18] 3/8 12/19 13/13 15/18 16/4 16/5 36/21 39/17 39/19 40/18 45/6 45/11 45/13 45/13 45/24 46/5 46/6 52/25 stated [7] 11/8 11/10 12/12 46/9 52/2 52/23 **statement [7]** 10/24 11/7 11/9 15/3 18/25

states [20] 1/1 1/6 2/2 2/3 2/4 3/4 3/6 3/10 6/4 15/10 16/18 18/3 39/23 41/3 44/2 54/1 54/4 55/13 64/4 64/9 statute [1] 24/20 statutory [1] 52/1 stay [2] 3/18 45/14 stayed [2] 38/25 39/3 stealing [1] 31/22 stenographically [1] 64/6 **step [1]** 18/16 Stevenson [1] 28/17 **stick [1]** 25/10 still [8] 17/19 23/1 25/10 33/18 34/6 37/25 52/22 52/24 stipulated [1] 49/15 stole [1] 30/23 stopped [1] 45/5 storage [2] 59/24 59/25 story [3] 20/21 31/17 37/7 Street [4] 1/22 1/22 2/4 2/8 stress [2] 23/18 52/10 struggled [3] 25/7 25/7 26/19 subject [3] 35/9 55/12 60/5 submit [5] 9/20 18/10 57/8 59/21 60/3 submitted [5] 5/2 11/5 11/7 13/24 44/25 subsequent [1] 16/5 subsequently [1] 20/8 **substance** [3] 57/8 57/13 60/19 substantial [18] 5/13 5/19 6/18 9/12 10/14 11/3 11/13 16/16 16/19 17/24 42/11 42/15 43/1 43/4 48/1 49/1 49/9 53/19 substantially [2] 40/13 41/9 substantively [1] 53/20 tell [4] 23/15 32/23 successful [3] 25/2 29/19 47/9 such [13] 12/12 13/11 23/12 29/6 32/19 34/1 48/4 50/16 53/7 56/25 58/14 58/25 62/5 suffer [4] 43/4 48/10 48/11 49/8 suffered [9] 5/19 6/18

statements [12] 6/14 11/13 14/22 14/23 6/22 6/24 10/17 11/5 17/24 19/17 43/1 49/7 11/16 17/15 20/2 38/17 suffering [1] 14/17 **suffers [1]** 50/17 **sufficient [5]** 6/15 50/10 50/23 50/24 53/25 suggest [3] 43/3 49/24 59/16 suggestion [1] 40/22 suggests [1] 41/13 sums [2] 5/24 7/9 **supervised** [6] 12/8 43/17 51/8 55/4 56/23 57/5 supervision [7] 12/6 55/5 57/18 57/19 58/7 58/11 60/10 **supplied [1]** 32/17 **supplying [1]** 42/17 support [1] 3/24 supported [1] 29/11 **suppose [1]** 59/19 supposed [1] 31/16 **supposedly [1]** 31/13 **sure [2]** 18/14 19/8 **surface [1]** 36/6 **survive [2]** 31/21 31/22 suspect [1] 14/4 suspicion [1] 60/9 **sweat [1]** 57/14 sweat-patch [1] 57/14 **swiftly [2]** 8/12 14/10 **sword [1]** 31/2 system [1] 27/11

table [2] 3/13 7/24 take [5] 10/10 27/19 28/2 32/16 33/22 takeaway [1] 7/5 taken [2] 7/19 61/19 taking [3] 25/20 28/23 43/13 talk [2] 32/24 34/6 talked [4] 24/18 24/19 30/17 37/5 talking [2] 7/14 37/7 targeted [3] 6/5 16/23 17/2 tax [1] 59/13 taxes [1] 59/10 technically [1] 12/1 telemarking [1] 58/22 37/4 45/25 temporarily [1] 38/24 ten [2] 26/7 33/8 term [7] 16/15 22/18 29/16 41/18 56/17 56/23 56/24 terms [6] 14/17 41/25 46/9 52/16 56/25 57/1 terrible [1] 6/25

24/2 61/10

U

(DEFENDANT)	
Т	11/6 11/11 14/18 15/11
test [1] 57/9	20/19 23/4 33/13 35/3
testing [2] 57/14 57/24	37/24 43/2 44/23 48/14 48/20
tests [2] 57/10 57/10	they [39] 4/22 5/19
than [21] 5/1 5/23	6/18 6/19 6/24 7/13
13/10 25/1 25/11 25/14 26/17 26/24 27/11	7/15 9/23 13/25 16/23
27/25 33/8 42/4 44/24	17/2 17/3 24/19 26/15
45/3 46/15 48/6 50/14	29/13 29/14 30/8 30/11
53/25 54/7 54/22 55/3	30/12 30/13 30/17
thank [29] 8/3 8/4 9/22	30/20 30/25 30/25 31/3 31/21 33/22 34/20
10/2 15/7 18/11 18/22	34/25 38/1 42/24 47/7
19/9 24/3 24/6 24/10 28/4 28/5 28/11 32/5	47/24 48/8 48/8 48/9
32/6 33/5 34/15 34/16	48/11 52/12 56/5
38/8 38/9 43/24 53/13	they're [2] 37/6 56/5
53/23 55/23 61/9 63/8	<b>thing [7]</b> 25/3 25/13 26/2 26/25 27/13 27/19
63/9 63/10	30/12
that [276]	things [9] 25/21 25/24
that's [17] 5/14 11/2 11/2 13/10 14/16 14/21	26/9 26/19 26/22 27/8
17/22 18/25 24/2 27/6	29/2 34/7 36/11
27/9 28/2 32/18 33/4	think [26] 5/10 15/22
33/11 48/4 56/7	16/21 17/13 18/3 18/7 27/3 27/4 27/6 27/11
THC [3] 21/16 22/7	27/19 32/1 32/25 33/3
22/13	33/10 33/12 33/16 34/2
theft [2] 28/9 38/16 their [24] 4/4 6/8 6/19	34/4 34/9 34/10 34/12
7/1 7/7 7/12 9/24 19/25	34/13 44/18 56/5 62/1
29/4 29/12 30/18 30/25	thinking [1] 27/9
31/23 38/1 38/6 38/6	third [1] 32/14 this [110]
42/20 42/23 44/19	those [14] 4/2 4/12 6/9
47/11 47/12 48/9 48/11 52/10	6/20 13/12 17/12 20/24
them [14] 4/15 4/18	26/9 30/8 48/19 49/22
4/20 4/23 14/21 29/11	50/16 53/21 56/10
29/11 29/14 30/19	<b>though [8]</b> 9/2 10/19 27/24 40/20 44/16 46/8
33/23 33/25 38/2 48/16	49/14 52/15
53/16 themselves [3] 46/21	thought [8] 24/11
48/9 49/24	24/17 27/13 27/22 29/4
then [11] 4/7 11/4	29/14 32/19 33/25
22/20 26/11 35/24	thousand [1] 36/23 threat [1] 46/16
36/10 36/11 36/12 37/3 44/21 52/20	threateningly [1] 37/9
theory [1] 62/8	<b>THREATT [8]</b> 2/7 3/12
there [32] 3/18 4/25 5/4	4/1 5/1 10/15 11/23
7/12 10/3 10/19 10/22	13/6 14/14 <b>Threatt's [1]</b> 11/11
11/6 11/12 15/2 15/18	three [9] 23/2 24/20
15/25 16/8 17/15 18/7 18/13 23/18 26/21 32/7	26/20 28/22 38/22
33/6 34/17 34/24 35/15	43/18 50/10 56/23
36/20 38/10 40/7 46/6	56/24
48/1 48/3 49/11 51/14	three-level [1] 50/10
55/19	threshold [1] 11/17 through [4] 39/10
there's [9] 17/19 17/20	39/14 46/1 48/19
18/18 18/18 26/13 33/13 49/16 55/21	throughout [1] 41/17
61/23	ticket [2] 20/10 59/19
thereafter [1] 57/10	time [30] 3/20 4/1 4/17
thereby [1] 21/20	9/2 9/4 9/5 13/12 16/11 26/20 27/2 27/2 29/1
therefore [1] 52/13	20/20 21/2 21/2 29/1

these [15] 5/22 7/22

14/18 15/11 35/4 37/19 37/22 39/3 39/16 41/15 44/24 52/8 44/23 48/14 52/10 60/8 tincture [2] 32/12 32/18 Title [1] 64/4 today [7] 14/5 19/16 25/13 25/16 27/13 31/9 4 30/8 30/11 33/10 today's [1] 26/12 5 30/25 31/3 together [10] 11/7 25/8 25/11 26/19 27/14 29/1 29/6 29/9 30/9 35/24 told [6] 20/18 21/18 22/5 22/12 22/17 36/7 **Tom [1]** 28/15 took [8] 8/13 25/9 26/22 26/22 27/17 29/6 27/13 27/19 35/3 42/21 25/21 25/24 total [4] 10/17 43/15 49/21 54/11 touch [2] 15/8 35/17 toward [1] 12/1 traditional [1] 26/12 trainer [1] 36/4 tranches [1] 21/9 2 33/16 34/2 transcript [4] 1/14 62/18 64/5 64/7 trauma [1] 14/19 treasury [1] 23/7 treatment [9] 57/13 57/22 57/23 58/1 58/6 4/2 4/12 6/9 60/13 60/19 60/20 17/12 20/24 60/23 trial [2] 41/14 52/11 tried [2] 26/4 26/23 trips [2] 25/20 29/6 0 44/16 46/8 true [3] 38/5 41/6 64/5 truly [1] 30/21 trust [5] 25/6 26/15 30/24 35/8 48/9 3 27/22 29/4 trusted [7] 24/13 25/5 29/11 32/10 33/16 33/18 33/20 try [2] 51/16 52/9 **[8]** 2/7 3/12 trying [2] 25/24 27/14 turned [2] 20/5 29/24 **Turning [1]** 8/5 **TV [1]** 29/13 two [24] 5/12 5/23 6/17 7/22 7/24 12/4 13/5 17/9 17/11 17/12 18/24 19/1 21/9 21/19 25/21 29/24 38/14 40/6 42/10 46/11 48/24 52/21 52/22 57/9 two-level [5] 38/14 42/10 46/11 52/21 52/22 3/20 4/1 4/17 type [4] 12/11 49/19 13/12 16/11 51/4 51/10 types [1] 50/2

29/18 29/22 32/1 32/1

32/9 32/11 32/16 35/1

20/13 **Umm [1]** 35/11 unable [3] 55/17 62/17 62/20 Unbeknownst [1] 29/22 unbelievable [1] 36/20 unbelievably [1] 38/4 under [12] 7/18 12/11 12/13 17/13 22/1 23/7 39/21 41/1 45/24 56/25 59/25 62/1 underlying [2] 12/19 12/24 underrepresents [2] 40/13 41/9 understand [8] 4/7 4/23 19/9 26/4 26/16 34/14 35/6 62/15 understandably [1] 6/22 unenforceable [1] 62/7 unforeseen [1] 47/3 unfortunate [2] 7/3 34/5 unfortunately [1] 51/8 unique [1] 51/13 **UNITED [18]** 1/1 1/6 2/2 2/3 2/4 3/4 3/6 3/10 6/4 15/10 16/18 18/3 44/2 54/1 54/4 55/13 64/4 64/9 unlawful [4] 38/15 38/20 57/7 61/22 unless [5] 8/1 9/20 14/25 18/9 59/10 unlikely [2] 14/12 28/1 unpaid [2] 54/6 54/25 unrealistic [1] 44/18 unreasonable [1] 53/21 until [4] 18/18 25/4 58/1 60/14 unwarranted [1] 44/13 **up [10]** 18/16 24/25 25/13 25/23 26/25 27/15 29/25 32/13 34/24 51/13 upheld [1] 6/8 **upon [1]** 8/12 upset [1] 32/15 upward [15] 11/21 11/24 12/10 12/12 40/17 40/25 41/13 45/16 46/12 48/16 48/22 51/25 52/22 53/9 53/10 upwards [1] 15/24 urinalysis [1] 57/14 **us [17]** 18/17 21/4 28/3 29/14 30/13 30/15 35/4

ultimately [2] 12/23 60/1 61/1 46/22 33/17 22/13 23/4 52/15 53/19 vast [1] 6/7 51/14 63/8 54/15 54/16 47/21 47/22 48/7 49/3 49/8 49/14 52/9 54/11

35/17 37/7 37/11 37/17 37/23 38/7 57/3 57/22 **US Marshal [1]** 61/1 use [2] 57/8 57/25 used [6] 17/24 20/20 45/10 45/21 46/18 useful [1] 6/4 using [5] 32/12 38/16 38/17 46/1 57/16 usual [1] 49/18 vague [1] 6/24 Valencia [2] 28/20

Valley [1] 28/18 vape [12] 20/8 21/12 21/16 21/19 21/24 22/1 22/2 22/6 22/9 22/11 variance [11] 8/6 14/4 45/16 46/12 48/16 48/22 48/24 51/25 52/17 52/21 52/22 variances [3] 46/8 various [2] 8/10 46/18 vary [1] 53/9 vehicle [1] 59/22 vendors [1] 36/23 verge [2] 6/12 17/3 very [25] 6/10 7/6 8/19 9/12 12/19 14/16 16/22 18/2 28/21 34/20 34/23 34/25 34/25 35/1 35/7 35/7 35/9 35/9 36/1 41/12 41/17 41/21 49/6 vetted [1] 35/11 victim [28] 3/24 7/7 7/15 7/15 10/20 10/24 10/24 11/4 11/7 11/7 11/9 11/9 11/16 15/2 17/8 19/2 19/3 25/14 26/12 28/8 28/8 32/9 39/2 42/17 47/15 54/12 victim's [2] 6/2 17/5 victims [49] 5/13 5/18 5/22 5/24 6/7 6/17 7/8 7/17 7/21 10/14 10/17 10/20 10/22 14/5 15/5 16/20 16/22 17/2 17/11 17/23 18/1 18/12 18/14 18/24 19/1 19/13 31/9 31/12 34/14 41/19 42/11 42/25 43/1 43/2 43/18 44/16 44/17 44/18 44/21 44/23

<u> </u>
victims [1] 54/18
victims' [1] 42/19
violate [1] 12/18
violated [1] 60/9
violating [1] 41/25
violation [2] 12/20
60/11
violence [1] 49/20
violent [1] 14/16
virtually [1] 14/9
virtue [1] 11/25
visit [3] 20/16 20/17
29/13
147

waived [3] 55/10 55/16 61/24 waiver [2] 62/7 62/10 waivers [1] 62/5 waives [1] 62/3 wake [1] 14/20 want [8] 4/25 11/18 18/15 26/16 26/25 28/7 33/24 34/6 wanted [3] 19/8 25/13 59/18 warn [2] 34/8 60/4 warrants [2] 12/12 14/24 was [96] WASSERMAN [2] 2/7 3/14 watch [2] 25/19 51/11 watching [1] 38/2 way [6] 21/4 26/11 31/20 32/4 35/20 50/8 ways [1] 51/13 we [58] 5/7 5/17 8/5 8/7 8/23 9/9 11/23 12/14 15/5 15/9 16/7 17/7 17/22 18/1 19/6 20/1 20/10 20/18 20/21 21/21 22/12 22/20 23/19 24/12 24/13 24/18 24/18 24/24 26/11 26/18 26/22 26/22 26/22 26/23 28/17 28/21 28/23 28/25 29/2 29/11 29/11 29/14 32/21 32/22 34/22 35/2 35/6 35/11 35/18 35/19 35/20 35/23 37/8 37/13 37/14 37/20 38/1 38/5 we'd [1] 56/8 **We'll [1]** 18/19 we're [7] 6/10 9/20 15/21 19/7 25/10 38/2 53/18 we've [1] 11/17 week [3] 11/5 28/22 28/23 weeks [1] 9/3

weighs [1] 9/17 well [15] 11/20 13/16 25/19 29/1 29/18 30/15 35/5 35/9 36/25 42/17 44/12 49/11 50/16 51/12 61/18 well-being [1] 30/15 went [2] 22/20 32/20 were [39] 6/11 6/11 7/9 11/6 11/6 16/23 16/23 17/2 17/3 19/20 20/9 20/11 20/18 21/11 21/12 21/15 21/20 22/12 26/21 29/13 30/20 30/25 32/22 34/22 34/25 37/5 37/7 38/19 46/19 46/20 47/22 47/23 47/23 47/25 49/11 49/11 52/20 53/9 63/12 West [1] 1/22 **WESTERN [1]** 1/3 what [26] 5/2 12/3 12/9 13/20 14/1 14/16 22/7 25/17 26/3 26/10 26/10 27/4 27/4 27/6 27/9 28/1 30/16 31/20 35/21 36/5 37/9 37/13 37/16 38/5 40/9 47/16 what's [2] 25/16 36/25 whatever [2] 28/14 33/24 when [13] 19/6 20/13 22/12 24/16 24/18 25/4 25/8 25/18 35/14 36/7 36/25 41/14 51/15 where [9] 6/11 7/23 17/1 20/18 26/21 34/20 35/6 35/23 41/1 wherein [1] 58/18 whether [6] 5/12 5/19 12/6 12/7 28/23 29/9 which [30] 7/23 8/18 10/15 12/14 15/21 17/9 19/23 20/2 20/6 20/23 21/16 22/21 23/10 23/24 33/18 36/4 37/12 39/10 41/13 46/2 47/7 48/2 52/12 54/4 54/13 54/13 54/16 56/4 57/24 60/13 whichever [2] 3/19 55/3 while [13] 7/3 12/6 12/8 12/17 14/8 14/14 28/25 29/13 29/25 30/14 40/25 41/18 42/20 who [32] 3/12 6/11 7/8 7/12 9/13 10/24 11/8

11/9 11/13 14/19 14/19

24/5 25/23 26/14 26/20

32/7 33/6 34/17 34/19

15/2 17/11 18/18 20/8

36/13 38/10 43/3 46/20 47/11 47/23 47/23 47/24 49/11 who's [5] 9/13 14/18 28/16 28/17 36/14 who've [2] 10/20 44/14 whole [3] 34/12 58/20 59/6 why [6] 27/5 27/6 27/18 35/16 50/4 53/3 wife [11] 19/14 22/20 22/25 23/19 25/10 26/19 28/15 28/19 30/2 34/19 37/25 wife's [1] 22/23 will [22] 9/16 14/3 14/5 18/17 27/4 27/6 27/10 27/19 28/1 29/17 40/15 40/21 41/11 44/18 50/13 51/14 51/16 52/25 52/25 60/7 62/18 62/24 willful [1] 45/13 willfully [2] 13/1 39/24 winnings [1] 59/13 wise [1] 50/7 wishes [1] 15/3 within [6] 22/12 49/9 52/3 57/9 62/14 63/1 without [6] 17/16 20/13 42/5 45/24 58/24 60/23 wives [1] 28/25 woman [1] 36/13 word [1] 32/21 work [3] 25/24 35/12 36/11 worked [2] 33/8 36/24 working [2] 28/23 33/9 world [1] 31/22 worry [1] 18/19 worse [2] 9/4 48/6 worst [1] 31/11 worthless [1] 20/6 would [47] 5/6 7/24 10/3 11/11 12/4 13/8 13/17 14/15 16/2 17/13 21/19 22/17 23/15 24/5 24/11 24/17 24/20 26/15 26/15 30/18 30/19 31/4 31/4 32/7 33/6 33/10 34/9 34/17 37/4 37/23 38/10 40/9 45/5 46/7 47/4 52/1 52/3 52/5 52/17 52/20

year [6] 17/21 20/15 21/13 29/15 45/14 50/3 years [20] 7/24 17/21 23/2 23/16 24/20 24/24 25/3 25/11 26/14 26/21 27/17 27/22 28/21 30/4 30/6 33/9 33/13 43/18 56/23 56/24 years' [1] 38/23 **Yes [8]** 4/14 4/16 4/22 4/24 5/6 53/13 56/1 62/16 yet [1] 40/20 York [2] 29/7 29/12 you [105] |**you'd [1]** 5/4 you're [5] 28/14 36/8 62/17 62/20 63/3 you've [2] 14/5 34/22 young [1] 26/20 youngest [1] 29/4 your [67] **Z.A[1]** 11/9

52/21 52/23 52/23

53/11 53/14 55/24

wrought [1] 14/7

www.patcuneo.com

59/19

**[1]** 1/25

yeah [1] 36/8